arXiv:0712.0727v1 [hep-th] 5 Dec 2007

Semiclassical and Quantum Black Holes and their Evaporation, de Sitter and Anti-de Sitter Regimes, Gravitational and String Phase Transitions

M. Ramón Medrano[1,2] and N. G. Sánchez[1*]

(1) Observatoire de Paris, LERMA, CNRS UMR 8112,

61, Avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France.

(2) Departamento de Física Teórica, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas,

Universidad Complutense, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

Abstract

An effective string theory in physically relevant cosmological and black hole space times is reviewed. Explicit computations of the quantum string entropy, partition function and quantum string emission by black holes (Schwarzschild, rotating, charged, asymptotically flat, de Sitter *dS* and *AdS* space times) in the framework of effective string theory in curved backgrounds provide an amount of new quantum gravity results as: (i) gravitational phase transitions appear with a distinctive **universal** feature: a square root **branch point** singularity in any space time dimensions. This is of the type of the de Vega - Sánchez transition for the thermal self-gravitating gas of point particles. (ii) There are no phase transitions in *AdS* alone. (iii) For *dS* background, upper bounds of the Hubble constant *H* are found, dictated by the quantum string phase transition.(iv) The Hawking temperature and the Hagedorn temperature are the same concept but in different (semiclassical and quantum) gravity regimes respectively. (v) The last stage of black hole evaporation is a microscopic string state with a finite string critical temperature which decays as usual quantum strings do in non-thermal pure quantum radiation (no information loss).(vi) New lower string bounds are given for the Kerr-Newman black hole angular momentum and charge, which are entirely different from the upper classical bounds. (vii) Semiclassical gravity states undergo a phase transition into quantum string states of the same system, these states are duals of each other in the precise sense of the usual classical-quantum (wave-particle) duality, which is *universal* irrespective of any symmetry or isommetry of the space-time and of the number or the kind of space-time dimensions.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: de Sitter, anti de Sitter and black hole backgrounds, semiclassical gravity, quantum gravity, quantum strings, classical/quantum duality

---

*Electronic address: norma.sanchez@obspm.fr, mrm@fis.ucm.es

CONTENTS

1. **Introduction and Results**.

2. **Semiclassical Backgrounds**:

2.1. Schwarzschild black hole, de Sitter, Anti de Sitter, black hole de Sitter and black hole Anti de Sitter space times.

2. 2. Kerr- Newman (rotating charged) black hole space time.

2. 3. Semiclassical (Q.F.T) Temperature:

2.3.1. Schwarzschild black hole, de Sitter, Anti de Sitter, black hole de Sitter and black hole Anti de Sitter semiclassical temperatures.

2.3.2. Kerr (rotating) black hole and Reissner - Nordström (charged) black hole semiclassical temperatures.

2.3.3. Kerr Newman black hole semiclassical temperature.

2.4. (zeroth order) Semiclassical Entropy.

3. **Quantum String Entropy**:

3.1. Entropy for quantum strings in asymptotically flat space times, de Sitter and Anti de Sitter backgrounds.

3.2. Entropy for quantum strings in Kerr and Reissner - Nordström black holes space times.

4. **Gravitational String Phase Transitions**:

4.1. Extremal string states and phase transition.

4.2. String phase transition in de Sitter space time.

4.3. Absence of string phase transition in Anti de Sitter space time.

5. **String Partition Function and String Bounds in de Sitter, Anti de Sitter and Black Hole Backgrounds**:

5.1. Partition function and string bounds in de Sitter and Anti de Sitter space times.

5.2. Partition function and string bounds in black hole backgrounds:

5.2.1. In Schwarzschild black hole space time.

5.2.2. In black hole - de Sitter space time.

5.2.3. In black hole - Anti de Sitter space time.

5.2.4. In Kerr - Newman black hole.

6. **Quantum String Emission by a Black Hole and the Last Stage of Black Hole Evaporation**

6.1. Schwarzschild black hole string emission.

6.2. Black hole - de Sitter string emission.

6.3. Black hole - Anti de Sitter string emission.

6.4. Kerr- Newman black hole string emission.

6.5. Quantum Black Hole Decay.

7. **Semiclassical (Q.F.T) and Quantum (String) Regimes**:

7.1. Semiclassical Entropy for (asymptotically flat) Schwarzschild black hole, de Sitter and Anti de Sitter space times.

7.2. Non extremal Kerr black hole entropy.

7.3. Extremal Kerr black hole and gravitational phase transition.

7.4. De Sitter gravitational phase transition.

7.5. Absence of Anti de Sitter gravitational phase transition.

8. **Summary and Conclusions**.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The study and solution of the dynamics of strings in curved backgrounds has allowed further understanding of quantum gravity effects [1] - [10].

In this review paper, classical, semiclassical and quantum regimes are described for cosmological space times in a *conjoint* study. A clear picture for *all* these backgrounds will emerge, going beyond the current picture, both for their semiclassical and quantum regimes.

The analysis of the semiclassical and quantum regimes of gravity in the contexts of Quantum Field Theory (QFT) and String Theory (ST) respectively is particularly important for several reasons, and it has been the object of recent intensive study, in both regimes, with relevant physical and cosmological examples : Schwarzschild (BH) [11], Kerr rotating black holes (KBH) [12], Reissner - Nordström charged black holes [12], Kerr-Newman rotating charged black holes ($KNBH$) [12], de Sitter (dS) [13]- [14] and anti de Sitter (AdS) space times [15]; Schwarzschild - de Sitter ($bhdS$) [14] and Schwarzschild - Anti de Sitter ($bhAdS$) backgrounds as well [15].

The physical significance of these space times is well know. The black hole evaporation process has been a challenging problem, related to the so called *loss of information* [16] [17] and to the issue of the need of a quantum gravity description for its last stages.

The understanding of semiclassical and quantum gravity dS regimes is particularly important for several reasons. The flow of consistent cosmological data (cosmic microwave background, large scale structure, and supernovae observations) has placed dS, and quasi-dS, regimes as a real part of the standard (concordance) cosmological model [18]-[24], for the description of inflation at an early stage of the Universe (semiclassical or QFT regime) and acceleration at the present time (classical regime).

Furthermore, dS or quasi dS quantum regimes, besides their conceptual interest, should be relevant in the stage preceeding semiclassical inflation, their asymptotic behaviour should provide consistent initial states for semiclassical inflation, and clarify, for instance, the issue of the dependence of the observable primordial cosmic microwave fluctuations on the initial states of inflation.

The lack till now of a full conformal invariant description of dS background in string theory [1] should not be considered as an handicap for dS space-time, but as a motivation for going beyond the current scarce physical understanding of string theory. Moreover, solving the classical and quantum string dynamics in conformal and non conformal invariant string backgrounds, have shown that the **physics** is the same in the two class of backgrounds: conformal and non conformal. The mathematics is simpler in conformal invariant backgrounds, but the main physics, in particular the string mass spectrum, remains the same, [1]-[4],[7].

Although there is no relevant cosmological motivation to consider AdS background, the understanding of semiclassical and quantum AdS regimes is relevant as well for several reasons. AdS regimes are illustrative examples to compare and contrast with dS regimes, and they allow to see the effects of a negative cosmological constant. On the other hand, AdS space time provides asymptotic boundary conditions to Black Hole evaporation, and gives a natural infrared cuttoff to the euclidean path integral formulation for quantum gravity, AdS space time acting as a large space box [25]-[27]. Also the mathematics is simpler in conformal invariant AdS backgrounds (WZWN models) as compared to the non conformal ones, but the main physics (e.g. the string mass spectrum) continues to be the same [1], [3], [5]- [7].

For strings in flat space-time, weak string coupling does not describe any of these backgrounds, but for strings, in any of the full curved backgrounds considered here, these space times are non-perturbative from the beginning. We start with the full non perturbative background, so strong coupling effects are present even if no explicit string self interaction is added. Of course, more interactions can be included and explored, but strings in curved backgrounds provide an *effective* framework to deal with strong gravity regimes. In the lack of any tractable framework for String Field Theory, (even in the simplest flat space situations), the effective string analogue model or thermodynamical approach implemented in curved backgrounds, provides a suitable framework for this purpose. This approach allows to combine both quantum field theory (QFT) and string theory in curved backgrounds and to go further in the understanding of quantum gravity effects.

In this effective framework, strings are considered as a collection of quantum fields Φ_n coupled to the curved background, and whose masses m_n are given by the degenerate string mass spectrum in the curved space considered. Each field Φ_n appears as many times the string degeneracy of the mass level $\rho_s(m)$. Although the fields Φ_n do not interact among themselves, they do with the background. The mass formula, $m(n)$, and the mass density of states, $\rho_s(m)$, are obtained by solving the quantum string (non linear) dynamics in the curved background considered.

In this framework, the semiclassical (Q.F.T) and the Quantum (string) regimes turn out gravity duals of each other, but in the precise sense of the usual classical - quantum (or wave-particle) duality. This classical - quantum duality does not require the existence of any isometry, in any of the curved backgrounds considered, and neither needs *a priori* any symmetry nor compactified dimensions [11]-[15], [28]-[29]. For instance, the $SL(2, R)$ $WZWN$ string model is a clear and explicit realization of the dual behavior of the quantum string and the semiclassical (Q.F.T) regimes. In this string model, which describes bosonic string theory in a AdS space time in 3 dimensions, the dual behaviors (semiclassical and quantum string behaviors) are built explicitely in [30].

A central object in string theory is the microscopic string density of states of mass m in a given background, $\rho_s(m)$; in particular, its high mass behavior that depends on the different curved space-times in which strings propagate (this is the Hagedorn behavior in flat space time [31]). For a given space-time, this mass behavior grows exponentially; aspects as the number of space-time dimensions, critical dimensions, type of strings, and type of string theory only appear in the two dimensionless numerical coefficients of the exponential growth and its amplitude [32]. This is precisely the importance of the density of string states, from which the string intrinsic temperature and entropy emerge, and from which the basic important results of string thermodynamics are derived.

The string density of mass levels, $\rho_s(m)$, is derived from the string density of level n, $d(n)$, and from the string mass spectrum, $m(n)$, in a given background. The string density of levels $d(n)$ is the same in flat and in curved space-time. However, the mass relation between m and n, $m(n)$, and thus the mass density of levels, $\rho_s(m)$, depend on the curvature of the background geometry considered, and they are, in general, different from the flat space-time string mass spectrum and the flat space mass level density. The mass formula, $m(n)$, is obtained by solving the quantum string dynamics in *each* space time, for example: $m(n)$ and $\rho_s(m)$ in dS are different from the respective flat space time string mass spectrum and mass level density [1], [5]-[7]. In general, the formulae $m(n)$ and $\rho_s(m)$ depend on the characteristic lengths in the problem: a classical length $L_{c\ell}$ and a fundamental string length $\ell_s = \sqrt{\hbar\alpha'/c}$, or equivalently on their respective mass scales: $M_{c\ell} = c^2 L_{c\ell}/G$ (D=4) and $m_s = \ell_s/\alpha'$. Relevant combinations of them emerge in the mass formula $m(n)$ as the dS, and AdS, string mass $M_s = L_{c\ell}/\alpha'$ and string length $L_s = \hbar/cM_s$.

From the string density of states, $\rho_s(m)$, the string entropy $S_s(m)$ is obtained for the different space times. An important issue is that phase transitions are found: these can be of the Hagedorn-Carlitz [31], [33] type but with a string critical temperature modified whith respect the flat space Hagedorn temperature, and other hand, other phase transitions are found which are of the type of *gravitational phase transitions* [14]. The latter string transitions show a logarithmic square root branch point type of singularity for the entropy (and a pole singularity for the specific heat); this behavior is similar to the one found for a thermal self-gravitating gas of non relativistic particles (de Vega - Sánchez transition) [34], [35], [36], [65]. This string behavior is *universal* [29], [66]i.e. it holds in any number of dimensions, and its origin is gravitational interaction in the presence of temperature (as Jean's instability at finite temperature but with a more complex structure).

As a consequence of string phase transitions, string bounds emerge on the relevant semi-classical observables such as the Hawking - Gibbons (semiclassical) temperature [37], [38] and the Hubble constant (Sections 3 and 4).

A string temperature T_s appears in dS and AdS backgrounds, that can be higher than the flat space (Hagedorn) string temperature t_s. This happens when, for high masses, the string temperature in a given background is T_s, instead of t_s. T_s turns out to be a critical temperature for dS, but not for AdS ([11] - [15], [28] -[29]); T_s is the precise quantum dual of the semiclassical (QFT) temperature scale $T_{sem} = \hbar c/(2\pi k_B L_{c\ell})$ [38]. The two temperatures satisfy: $T_s = t_s^2 \, T_{sem}^{-1}$.

In section 2, semiclassical (Gibbons - Hawking) temperature and semiclassical (Bekenstein - Hawking, zeroth order) entropy are introduced, in a systematic way, for BH, KBH, $KNBH$, dS, AdS, $bhdS$ and $bhAdS$ space times.

In Section 3, the microscopic string density of mass levels $\rho_s(m)$ and the full quantum string entropy are given for the different backgrounds. An upper mass bound M_s for strings in dS space time appears, but not for AdS. The effect of the cosmological constant Λ is to reduce the string entropy for a dS background ($\Lambda > 0$) as compared with the one in flat space time; on the contrary, the entropy for string states in an AdS space time ($\Lambda < 0$) will be larger than the string entropy in flat space. The string mode angular momentum j is considered for the string mass density of states $\rho_s(m, j)$ and the string entropy $S_s(j)$ in a Kerr background. A maximal value appears for j equals to $m^2\alpha' c$. The effect of the spin mode j will be to reduce the string entropy as compared when no spin mode has been been taken into account. Similar behavior will have the charge mode q when strings are considered in a Reissner - Nordström background.

As a consequence of string entropy singularities that indicate the appearance of string phase transitions, gravitational like phase transitions are analyzed, in particular, in Section 4. For string states in which j reaches its maximal value, i.e. **extremal string states**, a phase transition takes place at $T \rightarrow \sqrt{(j/\hbar)}\; t_s$ that we call **extremal** transition. A smaller "effective string constant" appears $\alpha'_j \;\equiv\; \sqrt{\hbar/j}\;\alpha'$ (and thus a *higher tension*). In dS space time, the transition occurs at the temperature T_s *higher* than the flat space string temperature t_s, i.e. the Hubble constant H "pushes" the string temperature beyond the flat space time (Hagedorn) value t_s. H induces a higher "effective string tension" as well. No gravitational string phase transition appears in AdS space time.

Phase transitions show up as well in the thermodynamical behavior of the string partition functions and in the quantum black hole string emissions for different backgrounds.

In Section 5, a general study for the string partition functions in the different backgrounds is shown. The partition function, lnZ, of a string gas in a curved background with critical temperature shows a singularity at $T_{sem} \rightarrow t_s$ or T_s, for *any dimension* D. Namely, lnZ , for excited and highly excited string gas, shows a single pole temperature singularity (Hagedorn -Carlitz transition) at t_s for (asymptotically flat) Schwarzschild black hole (BH), Kerr black hole (KBH) and Kerr Newman ($KNBH$) backgrounds. But, for dS and $bhdS$ space times, lnZ shows a square root branch point singularity at T_s .

In the string phase transition, $T_{sem} \rightarrow T_s$, that takes place for strings in dS background, H reaches a maximum value, H_s, sustained by the string tension α'^{-1} (and the fundamental constants $\hbar$, c). The partition function has no singular behavior at T_s for massive and highly massive strings in AdS and $bhAdS$ space times, and again no phase transition appears.

For black holes with asymptotically flat background, the phase transition occurs at a temperature equals to t_s. For a black hole with an asymptotically dS background ($bhdS$) phase transition takes place at the temperature T_s. These phase transitions imply, for black hole evaporation process, an upper string bound for the Hawking temperature and lower string bounds for the mass and the horizon radius. Furthermore, for the $bhdS$ case, a relation appears between the horizon radius and the cosmological constant.

For $bhAdS$, $\ln Z$ is mathematically well defined for all temperature. However, we are in the string regime when the semiclassical temperature reaches the string temperature T_s. Consequences are the existence of a *minimal* AdS classical length or a *maximal* AdS string length. A relation between the horizon radius and the cosmological constant appears as well.

Furthermore, for a $KNBH$, lower string bounds for the black hole angular momentum J and charge Q are found, different from the upper semiclassical bounds.

In section 6, the quantum string emission cross section by a black hole is studied. The black hole emission is measured by an observer which is at the asymptotic region, i.e. flat, dS or AdS background. We also consider the quantum (string) black hole decay and the last stage of the black hole evaporation.

The quantum string emission cross sections show first the Hawking thermal emission at the semiclassical temperature (semiclassical regime). As evaporation proceeds, the black hole temperature increases and highly massive string states dominate the emission. The already known phase transitions appear again. For a semiclassical (Hawking - Gibbons) temperature reaching the string temperature (t_s or T_s), the black hole undergoes a string phase transition to a microscopic *string*black hole state, which is a condensed finite energy string state with *finite* string temperature t_s (asymptotically flat) or T_s (asymptotically dS), and a size and mass both given in terms of the characteristic string lengths ℓ_s or L_s (Section 5). This phase transition, undergone by the emitted strings, represents the non perturbative back reaction effect of the string emission on the black hole. We see that the temperature does not become infinite but remains bounded by the string temperature in the asymptotically space time considered. Then, there is not a thermodynamical catastrophe in the last stage of black hole emission as it is the case in the semiclassical black hole approximation (when extrapolated to the last stage).

For a black hole in an asymptotically AdS background, there is no phase transition at $T_{sem\ bhAdS} = T_s$, but we are analogously in the string regime.

Through evaporation and decay, $KNBH$ will loose charge and angular momentum (super radiance like processes) at a higher rate than the loss of mass through thermal radiation. In general, the last stage of evaporation of a semiclassical $KNBH$ will be a stringy state with (intrinsic) string temperature with zero charge and angular momentum.

At the late stage of black hole evaporation, the black hole decays with a string width $\Gamma_s \sim \alpha' t_s$ or $\Gamma_s \sim \alpha' T_s$, into all kind of particles with pure (non mixed) quantum radiation as usual quantum strings do.

The quantum string black hole state will have a life time $\tau = (\Gamma_s)^{-1}$. In the effective string framework considered here, there is no loss of information (i.e no paradox at all).

In Section 7, by precisely identifying the semiclassical and quantum string mutual dual regimes, new formulae are found for the full semiclassical entropies S_{sem} for BH, KBH, dS and AdS backgrounds , as functions of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S^{(0)}_{sem}$.

For a low Hubble constant, dS (and AdS) regime is alike to the classical Schwarschild black hole regime , i.e. in this regime the leading term to the entropy is the Bekenstein-Hawking (zeroth order) entropy $S^{(0)}_{sem}(H)$.

But for a large Hubble constant, or high curvature dS regime, $S^{(0)}_{sem}(H)$ is subdominant, and the full entropy $S_{sem}(H)$ is different from the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy . Furthermore, for $H \to c/\ell_{Pl}$ (being c/ℓ_{Pl} the Planck length), a phase transition takes place at

$T \rightarrow T_{Pl}$ (T_{Pl}, Planck temperature). This is again a gravitational like transition, i.e a square root branch point singularity at the critical temperature, analogous to the the string gas in dS space time.

For AdS, contrary to dS, no phase transition occurs at $T \rightarrow t_{Plack}$ (high curvature, $|\Lambda|^{1/2} \rightarrow c/\ell_{Pl}$, AdS regime).

The semiclassical entropy of a Schwarzschild black hole ($J = 0$) is maximal as compared with the semiclassical entropy for a Kerr black hole ($J \neq 0$). The effect of the angular momentum is to reduce the entropy.

The special case of extremal black holes is clarified. The semiclassical extremal $KNBH$ does not evaporate through Hawking radiation, as the Hawking temperature is zero. Also, the string temperature cannot be reached, unless the extremal configuration would be already a stringy state. The extremal black hole is, among the black holes states, the most stable configuration, in other words the most classical, or semiclassical, one. Thus if a black hole was not extremal at its origin, it will not be extremal at its end. In other words, a $KNBH$ cannot become through quantum decay an extremal black hole, and the extremal black hole cannot be the late state of black hole evaporation. In particular, for an extremal KBH ($J = GM^2/c$), an **extremal phase transition** occurs at $T \rightarrow \sqrt{(J/\hbar)}\ T_{Pl}$. This entropy singularity is a brach point one, similar to the extremal string transition that was analysed for the extremal string states in Section 4.

Section 8 presents the Conclusions.

2. SEMICLASSICAL BACKGROUNDS

2.1. Schwarzschild black hole, de Sitter, Anti de Sitter, black hole de Sitter and black hole Anti de Sitter space times

The D-dimensional space times, for BH, dS, AdS, $bhdS$, and $bhAdS$, are described by the metric (static coordinates)

$$ds^2 = -a(r)\ c^2\ dt^2 + a^{-1}(r)\ dr^2 + r^2\ d\Omega^2_{D-2} \tag{1}$$

where:

$$a_{BH}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} \quad , \quad a_{dS}(r) = 1 - \left(\frac{r}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2 \quad , \quad a_{AdS}(r) = 1 + \left(\frac{r}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2 \tag{2}$$

$$a_{bhdS}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} - \left(\frac{r}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2 \quad , \quad a_{bhAdS}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} + \left(\frac{r}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

and

$$r_g = \left(\frac{16\pi\ G\ M}{c^2(D-2)\ A_{D-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{D-3}}, \qquad A_{D-2} = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{(D-1)}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{(D-1)}{2}\right)} \tag{4}$$

r_g is the Schwarzschild gravitational radius, and $L_{c\ell}$ is given by

$$L_{c\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{(D-2)(D-1)}{2\ |\Lambda|}} = \frac{c}{|H|} \tag{5}$$

being H the Hubble constant and Λ the cosmological constant ($\Lambda > 0$ for dS; $\Lambda < 0$ for AdS)

$$|\Lambda| = \frac{(D-2)(D-1)}{2}\ \frac{H^2}{c^2} \tag{6}$$

($H^2 > 0$). The horizons for BH and dS are located at r_g and $L_{c\ell}$ respectively. It is clear that there is no event horizon in AdS. Horizons for $bhdS$ and $bhAdS$ will be considered later on.

2.2. Kerr Newman (rotating charged) black hole space time

A charged rotating black hole of mass M, charge Q and angular momentum J is described by the Kerr-Newman geometry (Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $x^\mu = (t, r, \theta, \varphi)$, $D = 4$)

$$ds^2 = -c^2\ (1 - \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma})\ dt^2 - 2\ c\ \Pi\ L_J \sin^2\theta\ dt\ d\varphi + \frac{\Sigma}{\Lambda}\ dr^2 + \Sigma\ d\theta^2 + B\ \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}\ d\varphi^2 \tag{7}$$

where

$$\Pi = r_g\ r - L_Q^2, \quad \Sigma = r^2 + L_J^2 \cos^2\theta, \quad \Lambda = r^2 - \Pi + L_J^2, \quad B = (r^2 + L_J^2)^2 - \Lambda L_J^2 \sin^2\theta \tag{8}$$

$$r_g = \frac{2GM}{c^2}, \qquad L_J = \frac{J}{Mc}, \qquad L_Q = \frac{\sqrt{G}Q}{c^2} \tag{9}$$

(G is the gravitational Newton constant), and r_g, L_J, L_Q satisfy at the classical level, the inequality :

$$(\frac{r_g}{2})^2 \geq L_Q^2 + L_J^2 \tag{10}$$

This equality holds for the extremal black hole, and Eq. (10) shows the classical upper bounds for J and Q. The two horizons are located at r_+ and r_- :

$$r_\pm = \frac{r_g}{2} \pm \left((\frac{r_g}{2})^2 - L_Q^2 - L_J^2\right)^{1/2} \tag{11}$$

2.3. Semiclassical (Q.F.T. Hawking) temperature

In the context of Quantum Field Theory (Q.F.T) in curved space time, the semiclassical Gibbons - Hawking temperature ([37]- [38]) is given by

$$T_{sem} = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi k_B} \; \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \tag{12}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the length that measures the *quantum size* in the semiclassical - QFT regime of the studied backgrounds [11] -[15].

2.3.1. *Schwarzschild black hole, de Sitter, Anti de Sitter, black hole de Sitter and black hole Anti de Sitter semiclassical temperatures*

For these backgrounds, the different $\mathcal{L}'s$ are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{BH} = 2r_g \quad , \quad \mathcal{L}_{dS} = L_{c\ell}(H) = L_{c\ell}(|\Lambda|) = \mathcal{L}_{AdS} \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{bhdS} = 2\; r_g \left(1 - 2\left(\frac{r_g}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2 \right)^{-1} \quad , \quad \mathcal{L}_{bhAdS} = 2\; r_g \left(1 + 2\left(\frac{r_g}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2 \right)^{-1} \tag{14}$$

Eq. (13) defines the semiclassical AdS temperature $T_{sem\ Ads}$, which is for AdS the analogous of the Hawking temperature for backgrounds with a horizon.

The black hole surface gravity $\mathcal{K}$ is defined by

$$T_{sem} = \frac{\hbar}{2\;\pi\;k_B\;c}\;\mathcal{K} \tag{15}$$

and it can be read from Eqs. (13) and (14) for asymptotically flat, dS and AdS space times.

2.3.2. *Kerr (rotating) black hole and Reissner-Nordström (charged) black hole semiclassical temperatures*

According to Eq. (12) we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{KBH} = r_g \Delta^{-1}(1+\Delta) \quad , \quad \mathcal{L}_{RNBH} = \frac{r_g}{2}\;\widehat{\Delta}^{-1}\;(1+\widehat{\Delta})^2 \tag{16}$$

where

$$\Delta = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2\;L_J}{r_g}\right)^2} \quad , \quad \widehat{\Delta} = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2\;L_Q}{r_g}\right)^2} \tag{17}$$

($\Delta = 1 = \widehat{\Delta}$ for the Schwarzschild case).

2.3.3. *Kerr Newman black hole semiclassical temperature*

The semiclassical or QFT black hole temperature (Hawking temperature) is

$$T_{sem}(J, Q) = \frac{\hbar c}{4\pi k_B} \; \frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+^2 + L_J^2} \tag{18}$$

which can be rewritten in the general form of Eq. (12), with the length $\mathcal{L}$ now given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{KNBH} \equiv L_{c\ell}(J, Q) = \frac{2\ L_{c\ell}}{\delta} \left(1 \ + \ \delta \ - \ \frac{\nu^2}{2}\right) \tag{19}$$

where

$$\nu \equiv \frac{2L_Q}{r_g} \qquad , \qquad \mu \equiv \frac{2L_J}{r_g} \qquad , \qquad \delta \equiv \sqrt{1 \ - \ \nu^2 \ - \ \mu^2} \tag{20}$$

with r_g, L_J and L_Q given by Eq. (9). From Eq. (10), we have

$$\nu^2 \ + \ \mu^2 \leq 1 \tag{21}$$

and furthermore

$$\mu^2 \leq 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \nu^2 \leq 1 \tag{22}$$

That is, in the semiclassical (Q.F.T) regime, one always has

$$T_{sem}(J, Q) \leq T_{sem}(J = 0 = Q) \tag{23}$$

which implies

$$L_{c\ell}(J, Q) \geq 2\ r_g \tag{24}$$

The equality

$$\nu^2 \ + \ \mu^2 = 1 \tag{25}$$

corresponds to the extremal Kerr Newman black hole, $T_{sem}(J, Q)_{extremal} = 0$.

2.4. Semiclassical Entropy

The Bekenstein-Hawking (zeroth order) entropy ([39] -[40]) is given by the general expression [29]

$$S_{sem}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{M}\ c^2}{T_{sem}} \tag{26}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ and T_{sem} depend on the background.

For BH, dS, AdS, KBH and $RNBH$ backgrounds, $\mathcal{M}$ is respectively ([12], [14], [15]).

$$\mathcal{M}_{BH} = M \quad , \quad \mathcal{M}_{dS} = M_{cl}(H) = M_{cl}(|\Lambda|) = \mathcal{M}_{AdS} \tag{27}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{KBH} \equiv M(J) = M\Delta \quad , \quad \mathcal{M}_{RNBH} = M\widehat{\Delta} \tag{28}$$

where M, J and Q are the mass, angular momentum, and charge of the black hole; Δ and $\widehat{\Delta}$ are given by Eq. (17). M_{cl} is the (classical) mass scale of dS and AdS space times

$$M_{cl} = \frac{c^2}{G}\, L_{c\ell} \qquad (D=4) \tag{29}$$

being G the Newton gravitational constant and $L_{c\ell}$ given by Eq. (5).

3. QUANTUM STRING ENTROPY

In a given background, the full entropy of quantum strings S_s is related to the microscopic string density $\rho_s(m)$, and it is defined by

$$\rho_s(m) = e^{\frac{S_s(m)}{k_B}} \tag{30}$$

3.1. Entropy for quantum strings in asymptotically flat space times, de Sitter and Anti de Sitter backgrounds

In order to derive $\rho_s(m)$, we notice that the degeneracy $d_n(n)$ of level n (counting of oscillator states and no spins considered here) is the same in flat and in curved space time. The differences, due to the space-time curvature, will enter through the relation $m = m(n)$ of the mass spectrum. Asymptotically, for high n, the degeneracy $d_n(n)$ behaves universally as

$$d_n(n) = n^{-a'}\ e^{b\ \sqrt{n}} \tag{31}$$

where the constants a' and b depend on the space time dimensions and on the type of the strings. For example, for closed bosonic strings (non compact dimensions)

$$b = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{D-2}{6}}\ , \qquad a' = \frac{D+1}{2} \tag{32}$$

The density $\rho_s(m)$ of mass levels and the level degeneracy $d_n(n)$ satisfy

$$\rho_s(m)\; d\left(\frac{m}{m_s}\right) = d_n(n)\; dn \tag{33}$$

where m_s is the fundamental string mass

$$m_s = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\alpha' c}} \equiv \;\frac{\ell_s}{\alpha'} \tag{34}$$

being α' the fundamental string constant (α'^{-1} is a mass linear density) and ℓ_s the fundamental string length.

The mass formula $m(n)$ and the mass density of levels $\rho_s(m)$ are obtained by solving the quantum string dynamics in the curved background considered. In general, these mass formulae for quantum strings, in any background, can be written as

$$(\frac{m}{m_s})^2 \simeq g(n) \tag{35}$$

and

$$\rho_s(m) \simeq \frac{m}{m_s}\left[\;\frac{d_n(n)}{g'(n)}\;\right]_{n=n(m)} \tag{36}$$

For flat, dS and AdS backgrounds, $g(n)$ can be read from the r.h.s of the following equations (closed bosonic strings) [1] - [7]

$$g(n)_{flat} \;=\; \left(\frac{m}{m_s}\right)^2 \simeq 4\; n \tag{37}$$

$$g(n)_{dS} \;=\; \left(\frac{m}{m_s}\right)^2 \simeq 4\; n\left[1 - n\left(\frac{m_s}{M_s}\right)^2\right] \tag{38}$$

$$g(n)_{AdS} \;=\; \left(\frac{m}{m_s}\right)^2 \simeq 4\; n\left[1 + n\left(\frac{m_s}{M_s}\right)^2\right] \tag{39}$$

where M_s is the characteristic string mass in dS ($\Lambda > 0$) and AdS ($\Lambda < 0$) space times

$$M_s = \frac{L_{c\ell}}{\alpha'} = \frac{c}{|H|\;\alpha'} \tag{40}$$

Furthermore, M_s defines the quantum string dS (AdS) length L_s:

$$L_s = \frac{\hbar}{M_s\; c} \tag{41}$$

and the string dS (AdS) temperature T_s:

$$T_s = \frac{1}{2\pi k_B}\; M_s\; c^2 = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi k_B}\;\frac{1}{L_s} = \frac{1}{2\pi k_B}\;\frac{c^3}{|H|\alpha'} \tag{42}$$

T_s is the critical string temperature only in dS space time, as it is shown in Sec.4, Sec.5 and Sec.6 below.

From Eqs.(36) and (37)-(39), we derive the string mass density of levels in flat, dS and AdS space times [13] - [15]

$$\rho(m)_{s,flat} \equiv \rho_s\Big(\frac{m}{m_s}\Big) \simeq \Big(\frac{m}{m_s}\Big)^{-a} \; e^{\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{m}{m_s}\right)} \tag{43}$$

$$\rho(m,H)_{s,dS} \equiv \rho_s(m,H) \simeq \left(\frac{m}{\Delta_s M_s}\sqrt{\frac{2}{1-\Delta_s}}\right) \left(\frac{M_s}{m_s}\sqrt{\frac{1-\Delta_s}{2}}\right)^{-a} \; e^{\left(\frac{bM_s}{m_s}\sqrt{\frac{1-\Delta_s}{2}}\right)} \tag{44}$$

$$\rho(m,|\Lambda|)_{s,AdS} \equiv \rho_s(m,|\Lambda|) \simeq \left(\frac{m}{\Delta_s M_s}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\Delta_s-1}}\right) \left(\frac{M_s}{m_s}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_s-1}{2}}\right)^{-a} \; e^{\left(\frac{bM_s}{m_s}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_s-1}{2}}\right)} \tag{45}$$

where $a \equiv 2a' - 1$, and

$$\Delta_s \equiv \sqrt{1 \mp \Big(\frac{m}{M_s}\Big)^2} \tag{46}$$

($\mp$ for dS and AdS respectively). We see that M_s is an upper mass bound for the strings in dS background. For strings in flat and AdS space times there is not a mass bound. As the Schwarzschild black space time is asymptotically flat, the asymptotic string mass density of levels will coincide with the one in Minkowski space time [8], [9].

Let us introduce the (zeroth order) string entropy $S_s^{(0)}$ in flat space time :

$$S_s^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\; b\; k_B\Big(\frac{m}{m_s}\Big) = \frac{1}{2}\;\frac{m\; c^2}{t_s} \tag{47}$$

where

$$t_s = \frac{1}{b\; k_B}\; m_s\; c^2 \equiv \frac{\hbar\; c}{2\pi\; k_B}\;\frac{1}{\hat{l_s}} \tag{48}$$

($\hat{l_s} = (b/2\pi)\; l_s$) being t_s the flat space string temperature. For flat and (asymptotically flat) BH, dS and AdS backgrounds, the density of mass levels can be written in terms of $S_s^{(0)}$ as

$$\rho_s(m) \simeq \Big(\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\Big)^{-a} \; e^{\left(\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\right)} \tag{49}$$

$$\rho_s(m,H) \simeq \frac{1}{\Delta_s}\sqrt{\frac{1+\Delta_s}{2}} \; \left(\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\sqrt{\frac{2}{1+\Delta_s}}\right)^{-a} \; e^{\left(\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\sqrt{\frac{2}{1+\Delta_s}}\right)} \tag{50}$$

$$\rho_s(m,|\Lambda|) \simeq \left(\frac{m}{\Delta_s M_s}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\Delta_s-1}}\right) \left(\frac{M_s}{m_s}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_s-1}{2}}\right)^{-a} \; e^{\left(\frac{bM_s}{m_s}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_s-1}{2}}\right)} \tag{51}$$

From Eqs. (30) and (49) - (51), we can read the full string entropy S_s in flat and (asymptotically flat) BH, dS and AdS backgrounds respectively in terms of $S_s^{(0)}$

$$S_{s,flat}(m) \equiv S_s(m) = S_s^{(0)}(m) - a\; k_B\; \ln\; \Big(\frac{S_s^{(0)}(m)}{k_B}\Big) \tag{52}$$

$$S_{s,dS}(m,H) \;=\; \hat{S}_s^{\,(0)}(m,H) - a\;k_B\;\ln\;(\frac{\hat{S}_s^{\,(0)}(m,H)}{k_B}) - k_B\;\ln F(m,H) \tag{53}$$

$$S_{s,AdS}(m,|\Lambda|) \;=\; \hat{S}_s^{\,(0)}(m,|\Lambda|) - a\;k_B\;\ln\;(\frac{\hat{S}_s^{\,(0)}(m,|\Lambda|)}{k_B}) - k_B\;\ln F(m,|\Lambda|) \tag{54}$$

where for Eqs. (53) and (54)

$$\hat{S}_s^{\,(0)}(m) \equiv S_s^{(0)}\sqrt{f(x)}\;\;,\;\;\; F(m) \equiv \sqrt{(1 \mp 4x^2)f(x)} \tag{55}$$

($\mp$ for dS and AdS respectively) being x the dimensionless variable

$$x(m,|H|) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{m}{M_s}\Big) = \frac{m_s}{bM_s}\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B} \tag{56}$$

and

$$f(x) \equiv \frac{2}{1+\Delta_s} \tag{57}$$

where Δ_s is given by Eq. (46) (see Eq. (56)).

The entropy $S_{s,dS}(m,H)$ of string states in dS space time is smaller than the string entropy for $H = 0$. The effect of the Hubble constant is to reduce the entropy. On the contrary, the entropy $S_{s,AdS}(m,|\Lambda|)$ of string states in AdS background is larger than the string entropy in flat space. The effect of a negative cosmological constant is to increase the entropy.

3.2. Entropy for quantum strings in Kerr and Reissner - Nordström black holes space times

The string entropy $S_s(j)$ in a Kerr background is given, in terms of the string mass density of states $\rho_s(m,j)$, by

$$\rho_s(m,j) = e^{\frac{S_s(m,j)}{k_B}} \tag{58}$$

where the string mode angular momentum j is considered. This is a generalization of Eq. (30) where no spin was considered. The density of levels $d(n,j)$ of level n and mode j is the same in flat and in curved space-time, and, for large n, it is given by [42], [43]

$$d(n,j) \sim n^{-a'}\Delta_s^{-2a'}e^{b\sqrt{n}\;\frac{1+\Delta_s^2}{2\Delta_s}}\frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{b}{4}\sqrt{n}\;\frac{(1-\Delta_s^2)}{\Delta_s}\right)} \tag{59}$$

where

$$\Delta_s(n,j) = \sqrt{1-\frac{j}{\hbar n}}\;\;,\qquad j \le \hbar n \tag{60}$$

The string mass density of states $\rho_s(m,j)$ and the density of levels $d(n,j)$ are as usual related by

$$\rho_s(\bar{m}, j/\hbar)\ d(\bar{m}) = d(n,j)dn \tag{61}$$

The mass spectrum of strings in asymptotically flat spacetimes, as the black hole background, is the same as the flat spacetime string spectrum (Eq. (37)) [11]. From Eqs. (59) and (61) we have for closed strings

$$\rho_s(\bar{m}, j/\hbar) \sim \bar{m}^{-a} \Delta_{sj}^{-a-1} e^{\frac{b}{2}\bar{m}\left(\frac{1+\Delta_{sj}^2}{2\Delta_{sj}}\right)} \frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{b}{8}\bar{m}\frac{(1-\Delta_{sj}^2)}{\Delta_{sj}}\right)} \tag{62}$$

where

$$\Delta_s(m,j) \equiv \Delta_{sj} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4j}{m^2\alpha' c}}\quad ,\quad 4j \leq m^2 \alpha' c \tag{63}$$

From Eq. (62), the asymptotic mass density of states can be written as

$$\rho_s(\bar{m}, j/\hbar) \sim \rho_s(\bar{m}) \bar{F}(\bar{m}, j/\hbar) \tag{64}$$

where $\rho_s(\bar{m})$ is the spinless flat mass density of states (Eq. (43)) and

$$\bar{F}(\bar{m}, j/\hbar) = \Delta_{sj}^{-a-1} e^{\frac{b}{4}\bar{m}\frac{1+\Delta_{sj}^2}{\Delta_{sj}}} \frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{b}{8}\bar{m}\frac{(1-\Delta_{sj}^2)}{\Delta_{sj}}\right)} \tag{65}$$

$\bar{F}(\bar{m}, j/\hbar)$ takes into account the effect of the angular modes j, being $\bar{F}(\bar{m}, j=0) = 1$.

With the help of the zeroth order string entropy $S_s^{(0)}$ (Eq. (47) for $j=0$), Eq. (62) can be expressed as

$$\rho_s(m,j) \sim \Big(\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\Big)^{-a}\ e^{\left(\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\right)}\ \bar{F}(S_s^{(0)}, j) \tag{66}$$

with

$$\bar{F}(S_s^{(0)}, j) = \Delta_{sj}^{-a-1} e^{\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\frac{(1-\Delta_{sj})^2}{2\Delta_{sj}}} \frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{4k_B}\frac{(1-\Delta_{sj}^2)}{\Delta_{sj}}\right)} \tag{67}$$

Δ_{sj} now reads

$$\Delta_{sj} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{j}{\hbar}\Big(\frac{k_B\ b}{S_s^{(0)}}\Big)^2} \tag{68}$$

Therefore, from Eq. (58), the string entropy $S_s(m,j)$ in the Kerr background is given by

$$S_s(m,j) = S_s^{(0)} - a\ k_B\ \ln\Big(\ \frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\ \Big)\ +\ k_B\ \ln \bar{F}(S_s^{(0)}, j) \tag{69}$$

That is,

$$S_s(m,j) = \Big(\frac{1+\Delta_{sj}^2}{2\Delta_{sj}}\Big) S_s^{(0)} - a\; k_B\; \ln\Big(\;\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}\;\Big) - (a+1)\; k_B\; \ln \Delta_{sj} - 2\; k_B\; \ln\; \cosh\Big[\;\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{4k_B}\frac{(1-\Delta_{sj}^2)}{\Delta_{sj}}\;\Big] \tag{70}$$

Notice that the last term $k_B \ln \bar{F}(S_s^{(0)}, j)$ in Eq. (69) is enterely due to the angular momentum $j \neq 0$. The logarithmic terms have a negative sign. For $j = 0$, we recover the flat full entropy expression. For $\Delta_{sj} \neq 0$, the entropy $S_s(m,j)$ of string states of mass m and mode j is smaller than the string entropy for $j = 0$. The effect of the spin is to reduce the entropy. $S_s(m,j)$ is maximal for $j = 0$ (ie, for $\Delta_{sj} = 1$).

It is instructive to express $S_s(m,j)$ in terms of the quantity $S_s^{(0)}(m,j)$ for $j \neq 0$:

$$S_s^{(0)}(m,j) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\Delta_{sj}) S_s^{(0)} \tag{71}$$

Then, $S_s(m,j)$ expresses as

$$S_s(m,j) = S_s^{(0)}(m,j) - a\; k_B\; \ln\Big(\;\frac{S_s^{(0)}(m,j)}{k_B}\;\Big) \;+\; k_B\; \ln F(S_s^{(0)}, j) \tag{72}$$

with

$$F(S_s^{(0)}, j) = \Big(\frac{1+\Delta_{sj}}{2}\Big)^a \; e^{\left(\frac{1-\Delta_{sj}}{2}\right)\frac{S_s^{(0)}}{k_B}} \;\; \bar{F}(S_s^{(0)}, j) \tag{73}$$

(For $j = 0$: $F = \bar{F} = 1$ and $S_s^{(0)}(m, j = 0) = S_s^{(0)}$).

Explicitely, in terms of the zero order entropy for $j \neq 0$, $S_s^{(0)}(m,j)$:

$$F = \Delta_{sj}^{-1}\Big(\frac{1+\Delta_{sj}}{2\Delta_{sj}}\Big)^a \; e^{\left(\frac{1-\Delta_{sj}}{1+\Delta_{sj}}\right)\frac{S_s^{(0)}(m,j)}{k_B \Delta_{sj}}} \; \frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{S_s^{(0)}(m,j)}{2k_B}\frac{(1-\Delta_{sj})}{\Delta_{sj}}\right)} \tag{74}$$

The argument of the last (ln cosh) term in Eq. (70) is

$$x_j \;\equiv\; \frac{S_s^{(0)}}{4k_B}\frac{(1-\Delta_{sj}^2)}{\Delta_{sj}} \;=\; \frac{b^2}{4\Delta_{sj}}\;\frac{j}{\hbar}\;\frac{k_B}{S_s^{(0)}} \;=\; \frac{b}{4\Delta_{sj}}\;\frac{j}{\hbar}\;\frac{m_s}{m} \tag{75}$$

For $j/\hbar < (m/m_s)^2$, and $m \gg m_s$, that is for low j and very excited string states, $S_s^{(0)}(m,j)$ is the leading term, but for high j, that is $j \to m^2 \alpha' c$, ie $\Delta_{sj} \to 0$, the situation is *very different* as we will see.

Moreover, Eq. (72) for $S_s(m,j)$ allow us to write in Sec. 7 the whole expression for the semiclassical Kerr black hole entropy S_{sem}, as a function of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S_{sem}^{(0)}$.

Similarly, the entropy of strings in a Reissner-Nordström background is given by

$$\rho_s(m,q) = e^{\frac{S_s(m,q)}{k_B}} \tag{76}$$

where $\rho_s(m,q)$ is the string density of states of mass m and charge mode q.

For large m, $\rho_s(m,q)$ [44] ($D = 4$) is given by

$$\rho_s(m,q) \sim \rho_s(m)\ \exp\Big\{\frac{-q^2}{\hbar c(m/m_s)}\Big\} \tag{77}$$

Eqs. (76) - (77) yield:

$$S_s(m,q) = S_s(m) - \frac{k_B}{\hbar c}\frac{b\ q^2}{S_s^{(0)}} = S_s^{(0)} - a\ k_B\ \ln S_s^{(0)} - \frac{k_B}{\hbar c}\frac{b\ q^2}{S_s^{(0)}} \tag{78}$$

where $S_s(m)$ is the entropy for $q = 0$ Eq. (52), and $S_s^{(0)}$ its leading term (Eq. (47)).

The string entropy $S_s(m,q)$ of mass m and mode charge q is smaller than the entropy for $q = 0$. As the effect of the spin mode j, the effect of the charge q is to reduce the entropy; the q-reduction is proportional to q^2, while the j-reduction to the entropy is linear in j plus logarithmic corrections.

4. GRAVITATIONAL STRING PHASE TRANSITIONS

String phase transitions for black holes and dS space times will be dealt at length in Secs. 5 and 6. But, in this section, we want to stress the appearance of gravitational like phase transitions, similar to the one found for a thermal self-gravitating gas of (non relativistic) particles (de Vega - Sánchez phase transition)[34]-[36].

4.1. Extremal string states and phase transition

If we consider string mode angular momentum j for the asymptotic string mass density of states in flat space time and in a Kerr background as well, we define **”extremal string states”** the states in which j reaches its **maximal** value, that is $j = m^2\alpha' c$. Then the term $S_s^{(0)}(m,j)$ is minimal:

$$S_s^{(0)}(m,j)_{extremal} = \frac{1}{2}\ S_s^{(0)} \tag{79}$$

and $S_s(m,j)_{extremal}$ $((j/\hbar) \to (m/m_s)^2)$ is dominated by

$$S_s(m,j)_{extremal} = -(a+1)\ k_B\ \ln\ (\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}}\sqrt{T - \Big(\frac{j}{\hbar}\Big)^{1/2} t_s}\ )\ +\ O(1) \tag{80}$$

$(T = mc^2 \ / \ k_B b)$. This shows that a **phase transition** takes place at $T \to \sqrt{(j/\hbar)}\ t_s$, and we call it **extremal** transition. Notice that this is **not** the usual (Hagedorn/Carlitz) string phase transition occuring for $m \to \infty$, $T \to t_s$; although, such transition is also present for $j \neq 0$ since $\rho_s(m, j)$ has the same $m \to \infty$ behavior as $\rho_s(m)$.

The extremal transition we find here is a **gravitational** like phase transition: the square root *branch point* behavior near the transition is analogous to that found in the thermal self-gravitating gas of (non-relativistic) particles (by mean field and Monte Carlo methods). This is also the same behavior found for the microscopic density of states and entropy of strings in de Sitter background (Sec.3).

A particular new aspect here is that the transition shows up at high angular momentum, (while in the thermal gravitational gas or for strings in dS space time as we shall see, angular momentum is not considered, (although it could be taken into account)).

Since $j \neq 0$, the extremal transition occurs at a temperature $t_{sj} = \sqrt{j/\hbar}\ t_s$, *higher* than the string temperature t_s. That is, angular momentum, which acts in the sense of the string tension, appears in the transition as an "effective string tension" : a smaller $\alpha'_j \equiv \sqrt{\hbar/j}\ \alpha'$ (and thus a *higher tension*).

4.2. String phase transition in de Sitter space time

Strings in dS and AdS backgrounds have a very different behavior at string temperature T_s and energy range M_s, as we saw from the string entropies in both spaces (Sec.3).

For $m \sim M_s$, the string entropy in dS (Eq. (53)) behaves as:

$$S_{s,dS}(m, H)_{m \sim M_s} = k_B \ln \sqrt{\frac{M_s}{(M_s - m)}} - k_B \ln\ 2 + k_B \frac{b}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{M_s}{m_s}\right) - a k_B \ln \left(\frac{M_s}{m_s}\right) \quad (81)$$

Or, in terms of temperature :

$$S_{s,dS}(T, H)_{T \sim T_s} = k_B \ln \sqrt{\frac{T_s}{(T_s - T)}} - k_B \ln\ 2 + k_B \frac{b}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{T_s}{t_s}\right) - a k_B \ln \left(\frac{T_s}{t_s}\right) \quad (82)$$

$(T = mc^2 \ / \ 2\pi k_B)$. We see that a phase transition takes place at $m = M_s$, ie $T = T_s$. This is again a **gravitational** like phase transition, with a square root *branch point* singular behavior near the transition. This string behavior is *universal* [29]: the logarithmic singularity in the entropy (or pole singularity in the specific heat) holds in any number of dimensions, and its origin is gravitational interaction in the presence of temperature, similar to Jeans's instability at finite temperature but here with a more complex structure.

The transition occurs at the temperature T_s (Eq. (42)) *higher* than the (flat space) string temperature t_s (Eq. (48)):

$$T_s = \frac{b}{2\pi}\left(\frac{M_s}{m_s}\right)t_s = \frac{b}{2\pi}\left(\frac{L_{c\ell}}{\ell_s}\right)t_s \tag{83}$$

This is so since in dS background, the flat space string mass m_s (Hagedorn temperature t_s) is the scale mass (temperature) in the *low* Hm regime. But for high masses, the critical string mass in dS is M_s, instead of m_s; and the critical string temperature in dS is T_s instead of t_s. In dS, H "pushes" the string temperature beyond the flat space (Hagedorn) value t_s .

By analogy with t_s, T_s can be expressed as

$$T_s = \frac{1}{bk_B}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^3}{\alpha'_H}} \quad , \quad \alpha'_H = \frac{\hbar}{c}\Big(\frac{2\pi}{b}\ \frac{H\alpha'}{c}\Big)^2 \tag{84}$$

That is, H, which acts in the sense of the string tension, induces an "effective string tension" $(\alpha'_H)^{-1}$ in the transition temperature : a smaller α'_H, (and thus a *higher tension*).

The effect of H in the transition is similar to the effect of angular momentum we just shaw.

When $m > M_s$, the string does not oscillate (it inflates with the background, and the proper string size is larger than the horizon [1], [45]-[47]). The meaning of the string de Sitter phase transition (Eq.(81) or (82)) is the following: when the string mass becomes M_s, it saturates de Sitter universe, the string size L_s (Compton length for M_s) becomes $L_{c\ell}$, and the string becomes "*classical*" reflecting the classical properties of the background. Then, M_s is the mass of the background M_{cl} (Eq. (29), with α' instead of G/c^2): in other words, for $m \to M_s$ the string becomes the "*background*". Conversely, and interestingly enough, string back reaction supports this fact: M_s is the mass of dS background in its string regime, and a de Sitter phase with mass M_s (Eq. (40)) and temperature T_s (Eq. (42)) is sustained by strings [14], [29]. (L_s, M_s, T_s) Eqs. (40)-(42) are the *intrinsic* size, mass and temperature *of* de Sitter background in its string (high H) regime.

4.3. Absence of string phase transition in Anti de Sitter space time

For strings in AdS background there are not phase transitions at string temperature T_s and energy range M_s, but strings will become the background as well.

From Eq. (45), we see that for very large m ($m \gg M_s$) the leading asymptotic behavior of the AdS string mass density of states $\rho_{s,AdS}(m, |\Lambda|)$ grows like $\sim\ e^{\sqrt{mc^2/|H|\hbar}}$ instead of

e^{m/m_s} as in flat space time (Eq. (43)). The AdS string mass density of states, $\rho_{s,AdS}(m, |\Lambda|)$, expresses in terms of the typical mass scales in each domain: m/m_s (as in flat space) for low masses, $M_s/m_s = (c/|H|)\sqrt{c/\hbar\alpha'}$ for intermediate masses, $\sqrt{mM_s}/m_s = c\sqrt{m/\hbar|H|}$ for very high masses, and there is **no** extra singular factor for high masses as it is the case in de Sitter space. Notice that for the very heavy strings, the mass scale turns out to be determined by $\hbar|H|/c^2$ and not by $\sqrt{\hbar/\alpha' c}$. The above new features translate into the excited string entropy behavior.

In fact, for intermediate or high masses $m \sim M_s$, and for very high masses $m \gg M_s$ (M_s is no longer a mass bound), the entropy behaves respectively as:

$$S_{s,AdS}(m \sim M_s) = k_B \left(\bar{b}\frac{M_s}{m_s}\right) \; - \; a k_B \ln\left(\frac{M_s}{m_s}\right) \tag{85}$$

$$S_{s,AdS}(m \gg M_s) = k_B \left(\frac{b}{m_s}\sqrt{\frac{m\ M_s}{2}}\right) \; - \; \left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)\ k_B \ln\ \left(\frac{m}{m_s}\right) \; - \; \left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)\ k_B \ln\left(\frac{M_s}{m_s}\right) \tag{86}$$

($\bar{b} = b((\sqrt{2}-1)/2)^{1/2}$). Or, in terms of temperature :

$$S_{s,AdS}(T \sim T_s) = k_B \left(\frac{T_s}{t_s}\right) \; - \; a k_B \ln\left(\frac{T_s}{t_s}\right) \tag{87}$$

$$S_{s,AdS}(T \gg T_s) = k_B \left(\frac{1}{t_s}\sqrt{\frac{T\ T_s}{2}}\right) \; - \; \left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)\ k_B \ln\ \left(\frac{T}{t_s}\right) \; - \; \left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)\ k_B \ln\ \left(\frac{T_s}{t_s}\right) \tag{88}$$

($T = mc^2\ /\ 2\pi k_B$). From Eqs.(85)-(86) or (87)-(88), we see how the entropy behavior in (m/m_s) - which in AdS background is a low mass or low curvature behavior ($|\Lambda|^{1/2} m\alpha'/c << 1$) - , does become M_s/m_s, and then split into the highly excited entropy behavior $\sqrt{mM_s}/m_s$ in the high mass or high curvature ($|\Lambda|^{1/2} m\alpha'/c >> 1$) regime. Therefore, as $|\Lambda|^{1/2} m$ increases we have the following behavior : $m/m_s \quad \rightarrow \quad M_s/m_s \quad \rightarrow \quad \sqrt{mM_s}/m_s$.

Furthermore, there is **no** critical string temperature in AdS background, while we shaw that there exists a critical string temperature in de Sitter background. Summing up: The density of states and entropy do not show any singular behavior at finite mass or temperature in AdS background. We will see, in the following sections, that there is a critical temperature in the black hole backgrounds as well.

However, for $m \sim M_s$, the string is as massive as the background, in other words, the string itself becomes the background, or conversely, the background becomes the string. As a consequence, M_s and its corresponding temperature T_s must be truly considered in practice as limiting values for the string mass and string temperature respectively in AdS background.

Analogously to the dS case, when the string mass becomes M_s,the string size L_s (Compton length for M_s) becomes $L_{c\ell}$, the string becomes "*classical*" reflecting the classical properties of the background, or the background becomes quantum. M_s is the mass of the background M_{cl} (Eq. (29)), and for $m \to M_s$ the string becomes the "*background*" . Conversely, string back reaction supports this fact as well: M_s is the mass of AdS background in its string regime, and an Anti de Sitter phase with mass M_s (Eq. (40)) and temperature T_s (Eq. (42)) is sustained by strings . (L_s, M_s, T_s) are also the *intrinsic* size, mass and temperature of AdS background in its string high $|\Lambda|^{1/2}$ regime [15].

5. STRING PARTITION FUNCTION AND STRING BOUNDS IN DE SITTER, ANTI DE SITTER AND BLACK HOLES BACKGROUNDS

The canonical partition function is given by (no string angular momentum j considered here) [33]

$$\ln Z = \frac{V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \int_{m_0}^{\infty} d\Big(\frac{m}{m_s}\Big)\rho_s(m) \int d^{D-1}k \ \ln \left\{ \frac{1+\exp\Big\{-\beta_{sem}\Big[(m^2c^4+\hbar^2k^2c^2)^{1/2}\Big]\Big\}}{1-\exp\Big\{-\beta_{sem}\Big[(m^2c^4+\hbar^2k^2c^2)^{1/2}\Big]\Big\}} \right\} \tag{89}$$

where supersymmetry has been considered for the sake of generality; $D-1$ is the number of space dimensions; $\rho_s(m)$ is the mass density of states in flat or (asymptotically flat)BH space times, dS and AdS backgrounds ((Eqs.(43) -(45)); $\beta_{sem} = (k_B T_{sem})^{-1}$ and T_{sem} is the semiclassical temperature Eq. (12); m_0 is the lowest mass for which the asymptotic behavior of $\rho_s(m)$ is valid.

Considering the asymptotic behavior of the Bessel function $K_\nu(z)$

$$K_\nu(z) \sim \Big(\frac{\pi}{2z}\Big)^2 \ e^{-z} \tag{90}$$

and the leading order, $n=1$ (higher excited modes: $\beta_{sem}\ m\ c^2 \gg 1$), we have

$$\ln\ Z \simeq \frac{2\ V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \ \frac{1}{(\beta_{sem}\ \ \hbar^2)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \ \int_{m_0}^{\infty} d\Big(\frac{m}{m_s}\Big)\ \rho_s(m)\ m^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\ e^{-\beta_{sem} m c^2} \tag{91}$$

(the factor 2 comes from supersymmetry, as leading contribution is the same for bosonic and fermionic sectors).

5.1. Partition function and string bounds in de Sitter and Anti de Sitter space times

We know that the mass density of levels $\rho_s(m,|\Lambda|)$ has a different behavior from the ones in flat space time, $\rho_s(m)$, and in dS background, $\rho_s(m,H)$. It is crucial to remark here that M_s (Eq. (40)) is an upper mass bound for strings only in dS but fixes a mass reference in AdS space time, beyond which AdS string states become highly massive. Let us then analyze $\ln Z$, at significant mass ranges in relation with the string $dS(AdS)$ scale M_s.

For $m \ll M_s$, $\rho_s(m,H)$ and $\rho_s(m,|\Lambda|)$ have the same leading behavior which is given by the flat space solution $\rho_s(m)$. From Eqs. (43) and (91), we have for any D-dimensions

$$(\ln Z)_{m\ll M_s} \sim \frac{2V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \; \frac{(m_s)^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{(\beta_{sem}\ \hbar^2)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \;\; \frac{1}{(\beta_{sem}-\beta_s)c^2} \; e^{-(\beta_{sem}-\beta_s)\ m_0 c^2} \tag{92}$$

where $\beta_s = (k_B\ t_s)^{-1}$, t_s is given by Eq. (48), and $\beta_{sem} = \beta_{semdS}$ or $\beta_{sem} = \beta_{semAdS}$ (Eqs. (12) and (13)).

We see that the canonical partition function, for low $|H|m \ll c/\alpha'$, shows a pole singularity at $T_{sem} \to t_s$. This transition, near the flat space string temperature t_s and for any space time dimension D, is common for the string transition in flat space time (Carlitz/Hagedorn transition [31], [33]) or far from the black hole in the BH space-time, and for the string transition in the low curvature regime of dS and AdS space times. For low temperatures $\beta_{sem} \gg \beta_s$, (i.e. $T_{sem} \ll t_s$), we recover the non singular Q.F.T exponential decreasing behavior characterized by T_{sem}:

$$\ln Z \simeq V_{D-1} \,\Big(\frac{1}{\hbar^2 \beta_s\ \beta_{sem}}\Big)^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \;\; e^{-\beta_{sem}\ m_0 c^2} \tag{93}$$

For $m \sim M_s$, the leading behaviors for the canonical partition function $\ln Z$ for dS and AdS backgrounds are :

$$(\ln Z)_{m\sim M_s}(dS) \;\sim\; \frac{V_{D-1}}{(\beta_{sem}\hbar c)^{D-1}} \;\; \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{sem}-\beta_{sdS}}{\beta_{sem}}} \tag{94}$$

$$(\ln Z)_{m\sim M_s}(AdS) \;\sim\; \frac{2\ V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\ (\hbar c\beta_{sem})^{D-1}} \;\; \left(\frac{\beta_s}{\beta_{sAdS}}\right)^{\frac{3-D}{2}} \;\; e^{\frac{1}{\beta_{sAdS}}(\beta_s\ -\ 2\pi\beta_{sem})} \tag{95}$$

where $\beta_{sdS} = (k_B T_s)^{-1} = \beta_{sAdS}$, being T_s the string $dS(AdS)$ temperature (Eq. (42)).

For strings in dS, we rewrite Eq.(94) in terms of the temperature

$$(\ln Z)_{T\sim T_s}(dS) \sim V_{D-1}\left(\frac{k_B T_{sem}}{\hbar c}\right)^{D-1} \;\; \sqrt{1-\frac{T_{sem}}{T_s}} \tag{96}$$

Eq.(96) shows a singular behavior for $T_{sem} \to T_s$ which is general for any space-time dimensions D; this is a square root branch point at $T_{sem} = T_s$. That is, a phase transition takes place for $T_{sem} \to T_s$, which implies $M_{c\ell} \to m_s$, $L_{c\ell} \to \ell_s$ (Eqs. (34) and (48)).

Furthermore, we see from Eq. (96) that T_{sem} has to be bounded by T_s ($T_{sem} < T_s$) . In fact, the low mass spectrum temperature condition $T_{sem} < t_s$ (Eq. (92)), and the high mass spectrum condition $T_{sem} < T_s$ (Eq. (96)) both imply the following upper bound for the Hubble constant H (Eqs. (5), (12), (34), (41), and (42)):

$$L_{c\ell} > \ell_s, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad H < \frac{c}{\ell_s} \tag{97}$$

In the string phase transition, $T_{sem} \to T_s$, that takes place for strings in dS background, H reaches a maximum value sustained by the string tension α'^{-1} (and the fundamental constants $\hbar$, c as well) [14]:

$$H_s = c\,\sqrt{\frac{c}{\alpha'\hbar}}, \qquad (\text{i.e.,} \quad \Lambda_s = \frac{1}{2{\ell_s}^2}(D-1)(D-2)) \tag{98}$$

The highly excited ($m \to M_s$) string gas in dS undergoes a phase transition at high temperature, $T_{sem} \to T_s$, into a condensate stringy state. Eq. (98) means that the background itself becames a string state. In Sec. 4, we showed, from the entropy S_{sdS}, that precisely at $T = T_s$ ($m = M_s$) the string of mass m in dS space time undergoes a phase transition at $m = M_s$ and becomes the background itself.

QFT and string back reaction computations support this fact: dS background is an exact solution of the semiclassical Einstein equations with the QFT back reaction of matter fields included [48] -[58], as well as a solution of the semiclassical Einstein equations with the string back reaction included [1]: for $T_{sem} \ll T_s$, the curvature $R = R(T_{sem}, T_s)$, yields the QFT semiclassical curvature R_{sem} (low H or semiclassical regime), and for $T_{sem} \to T_s$ it becomes a string state selfsustained by a string cosmological constant Λ_s (Eq.(98)). The leading term of the de Sitter curvature in the quantum regime is given by $R_s = D\,(D-1)\,c/\ell_s^2$ plus negative corrections in an expansion in powers of (R_{sem}/R_s) [13]. The two phases, semiclassical and stringy, are dual of each other in the precise sense of the classical-quantum duality [13], [28].

For strings in AdS space time we can consider the $m \gg M_s$ mass range as well, and the leading behavior for the canonical partition function $\ln Z(AdS)$ is similar to the $m \sim M_s$ behavior:

$$(\ln Z)_{m \gg M_s}(AdS) \sim \frac{2\;V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}(\hbar\beta_{sem}c)^{D-1}}\;\left(\frac{\beta_s}{\beta_{sAdS}}\right)^{\frac{3-D}{4}}\quad e^{\frac{\beta_s}{\beta_{sAdS}}}\quad e^{-2\pi\frac{\beta_{sem}}{\beta_{sAdS}}} \tag{99}$$

We see that the canonical partition function for a gas of strings in a AdS background is defined for **all** temperature. The partition function, $\ln Z(AdS)$, for excited and highly excited strings in a AdS, does **not** feature any singular behavior at T_s in contrast to the one in flat space time which shows a single pole temperature singularity (Carlitz transition), and to the string partition function in dS space-time which shows a branch point singularity. There is **no** string phase transition at T_s for massive and highly massive strings in AdS space-time, that is for $|\Lambda|^{1/2} m\alpha'/c \sim 1$, contrary to strings in dS space time. Nevertheless, M_s marks the beginning of the string regime for the AdS case [15].

The results of this subsection will allow us to consider the string regimes of a black hole in dS (AdS), or asymptotically dS (AdS), backgrounds. This will lead to string bounds for the semiclassical (Hawking-Gibbons) temperature and the black hole radius.

5.2. Partition function and string bounds in black hole backgrounds

We consider in this subsection the partition function and bounds for the following cases: The Schwarzschild black hole (asymptotically flat space time), the black hole in a asymptotically dS ($bhdS$) and AdS ($bhAdS$) backgrounds, and the rotating charged black hole ($KNBH$).

5.2.1. *In Schwarzschild black hole space time*

We have already said that the Schwarzschild black hole space time is asymptotically flat. The partition function is given by Eq.(89), with the asymptotic string mass density of levels which coincides with the one in Minkowski space time (Eq.(43)).

For $T_{sem} \ll t_s$ (Eqs.(12), (13) and (48)), lnZ is given by Eq.(93). For $T_{sem} \to t_s$, lnZ behavior is reproduced by Eq.(92). This singular behavior for $T_{sem} \to t_s$, and all D, is typical of a string system with intrinsic Hagedorn temperature, and indicates a string phase transition at t_s to a condensed finite energy state.

As the definition of the partition function implies the condition $T_{sem} < t_s$ on the semiclassical, or Hawking, temperature, and T_{sem} depends on the black hole mass M, or on the horizon r_g, this condition provides lower bounds for the mass and the horizon radius (minima mass and radius) [11]

$$r_{min} = \frac{b}{4\pi} l_s \equiv \frac{\hat{l_s}}{2} \tag{100}$$

and

$$M_{min} = \frac{\hat{l}_s}{2l_{Pl}}\ m_{Pl} = \frac{b}{8\pi}\ \frac{m_{Pl}^2}{m_s} \tag{101}$$

where m_{Pl} and l_{Pl} are the Planck mass and length

$$m_{Pl} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\ c}{G}} \quad , \quad l_{Pl} = \frac{\hbar}{m_{Pl}\ c} \tag{102}$$

It is interesting to notice that the effect of quantum string matter is to decrease the black hole mass and horizon, and to increase its temperature: life time becomes shorter [11].

5.2.2. *In black hole - de Sitter space time*

The black hole-de Sitter ($bhdS$) background tends asymptotically to de Sitter space-time; and, asymptotically i.e far from the black hole, the string mass density of states is $\rho_s(m, H)$ (Eq. (44)). Then, we substitute β_{sem} in Eq. (89) by $\beta_{sem\ bhdS}$ ($\beta_{sem\ bhdS} = (k_B T_{sem\ bhdS})^{-1}$). The black hole (Hawking) temperature $T_{sem\ bhdS}$ will satisfy: $T_{sem\ bhdS} < T_s$, (Eqs. (5), (14), (42), (48)), which leads to

$$\ell_s^2 < L_{c\ell}\ L_{bhdS} \tag{103}$$

This implies the following condition

$$H\ \Big[1 - 2r_g^2 \left(\frac{H}{c}\right)^2\Big] < \frac{2r_g c}{\ell_s^2} \tag{104}$$

The bound would be saturated for a gravitational radius satisfying

$$\left(\frac{r_g}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2\ +\ r_g \frac{L_{c\ell}}{\ell_s^2}\ -\ \frac{1}{2} = 0 \tag{105}$$

which yields the physical solution

$$r_g = \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{L_{c\ell}^3}{\ell_s^2}\ \Big[-1\ +\ \sqrt{1 + 2\left(\frac{\ell_s}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^4}\ \Big] \tag{106}$$

For $L_{c\ell} \gg \ell_s$ we have

$$r_g \simeq \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\ell_s^2}{L_{c\ell}}\ \Big[1 + O(\frac{\ell_s}{L_{c\ell}})^2\ \Big] \quad , ie. \quad 2r_g \simeq \frac{H}{c}\ell_s^2 = L_s \tag{107}$$

and for $L_{c\ell} = \ell_s$

$$2r_g = 0.73\ \ell_s \tag{108}$$

Eq. (104) shows the relation between the Schwarzschild radius and the cosmological constant (Eq. (6)) when $T_{sem\ bhdS} \to T_s$ (string regime). We see that a black hole in dS space time allows an intermediate string regime, not present in the Schwarzschild black hole alone ($(H = 0)$), since in the $bhdS$ background there are two characteristic string scales: L_s and ℓ_s. We have seen that , in an asymptotically flat space-time, the black hole radius becomes ℓ_s in the string regime. In an asymptotically dS space time, when $T_{sem\ bhdS}$ reaches T_s , the black hole radius r_g becomes the de Sitter string size L_s. If the de Sitter radius $L_{c\ell}$ reaches L_s, (which implies $L_{c\ell} = \ell_s$), then r_g becomes determined by the scale ℓ_s (Eq.(34)) [14].

5.2.3. *In black hole - Anti de Sitter space time*

Analogously to the previous cases, the black hole-Anti de Sitter ($bhAdS$) background tends asymptotically, far from the black hole, to AdS space-time. Asymptotically, far from the black hole, $\rho_s(m, |\Lambda|)$ is the string mass density of states for $bhAdS$ (Eq. (45)). Then, for the partition function of a gas of strings, far from the black hole in the $bhAdS$ space-time, we substitute β_{sem} in Eq. (89) by $\beta_{sem\ bhAdS}$, i.e. by the the black hole temperature in AdS space time $T_{sem\ bhAdS}$ (Eq. (14)). There is no strict bound $T_{sem\ bhAdS} < T_s$ emerging from the string partition function (Eq. (89)) in the $bhAdS$ case, since the $bhAdS$ string partition function is mathematically well defined for all temperature. However, the regime when the semiclassical temperature $T_{sem\ bhAdS}$ reaches the string temperature T_s truly characterizes the string regime of the $bhAdS$ background. From Eqs. (14) and (42), the condition

$$T_{sem\ bhAdS} = T_s, \tag{109}$$

yields :

$$\ell_s^2 = L_{c\ell}\ L_{bhAdS} \tag{110}$$

which implies the following equation

$$\ell_s^2 \left[1 + 2\left(\frac{r_g}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2\right] = 2\ r_g L_{c\ell} \tag{111}$$

Thus, the black hole gravitational radius r_g satisfies

$$\left(\frac{r_g}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2\ -\ r_g\ \frac{L_{c\ell}}{\ell_s^2}\ +\ \frac{1}{2} = 0 \tag{112}$$

with the solution:

$$r_{g\pm} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{L_{c\ell}^3}{\ell_s^2}\,\Big[\,1\;\pm\;\sqrt{1-2\left(\frac{\ell_s}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^4}\;\Big] \tag{113}$$

Both r_{g+} and r_{g-} are physical roots provided:

$$L_{c\ell}\;\geq 2^{1/4}\;\ell_s\;\equiv L_{c\ell\;min}\;=\;1.189\;\ell_s \tag{114}$$

That is, there is a *minimal* AdS classical length $L_{c\ell\;min}$, or a *maximal* AdS string length $L_{s\;max}$:

$$L_s\;\leq\;2^{-1/4}\ell_s\;\equiv\;L_{s\;max}\;=\;0.841\;\ell_s. \tag{115}$$

For $L_{c\ell} \gg L_{c\ell\;min}$, r_{g+} and r_{g-} are :

$$r_{g+}\;=\;\frac{L_{c\ell}^3}{\ell_s^2}\,\Big[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ell_s}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^4+O\left(\frac{\ell_s}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^8\;\Big] \tag{116}$$

$$r_{g-}\;=\;\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\ell_s^2}{L_{c\ell}}\,\Big[\,1-O\left(\frac{\ell_s}{L_{c\ell}}\right)^2\;\Big] \tag{117}$$

which in terms of L_s read:

$$r_{g+}\;\simeq\frac{L_{c\ell}^2}{L_s}=\frac{c^4}{\hbar\alpha' H^3}\quad,\quad r_{g-}\simeq\frac{1}{2}\,L_s=\frac{\hbar\alpha' H}{2c^2}\quad,\quad \text{ie}\quad r_{g+}r_{g-}=\frac{L_{c\ell}^2}{2} \tag{118}$$

On the other hand, for $L_{c\ell}\;=\;L_{c\ell\;min}\;=\;2^{1/4}\;\;\ell_s$ (or $L_s\;=\;L_{s\;max}\;=\;2^{-1/4}\;\;\ell_s$), and $r_{g\,\pm}$ are:

$$r_{g+}\;=\;r_{g-}\;=\;\frac{L_{c\ell\;min}}{\sqrt{2}}=L_{s\;max}=\frac{\ell_s}{2^{1/4}}=0.841\;\ell_s \tag{119}$$

Eq. (113) shows the relation between the Schwarzschild radius and the cosmological constant (Eq. (6)) when $T_{sem\;bhAdS}=T_s$ (*bhAdS* string regime). Also a black hole in *AdS* space time allows also an intermediate string regime, not present in the Schwarzschild black hole alone (($H=0$)), since in the *bhAdS* background there are two characteristic string scales as well: L_s and ℓ_s. In an asymptotically *AdS* space time and when $T_{sem\;bhAdS}$ reaches T_s , the black hole radius r_g becomes either r_{g+} or r_{g-}, depending on the *AdS* string size L_s. Then, when the *AdS* characteristic length $L_{c\ell}$ reaches its minimal value, r_g becomes uniquely determined by ℓ_s, as given by Eq.(119). In addition, the *bhAdS* string regime determines a maximal value for $H\,:\,H_{max}=0.841\;c/\ell_s$

Finally, the *bhAdS* string regime is larger than black hole de Sitter (bhdS) string regime. Notice the differences between the bhAdS and bhdS string regimes [15]:

(i) Only one root (r_{g-}) is present in the bhdS string regime.

(ii) There is no condition such as Eq.(114) or (115) for $L_{c\ell}$ or L_s in the bhdS string regime.

ii) In the *bhdS* space time, when $T_{sem\ bhdS}$ reaches T_s, the black hole radius r_g becomes L_s. Then, when $L_{c\ell} = \ell_s$, r_g is minimal and determined by ℓ_s too, ($r_{g\ min} = 0.365\ \ell_s$).

In contrast, in the *bhAdS* background, $L_{c\ell}$ cannot reach ℓ_s, $L_{c\ell\ min}$ is larger than ℓ_s (Eq.(114)). The minimal black hole radius in *AdS* space-time, $r_{g\ min} = 0.841\ \ell_s$, is **larger** than the minimal black hole radius in de Sitter space:

$$r_{g\ min\ bhAdS} = 2.304\ r_{g\ min\ bhdS} \tag{120}$$

5.2.4. *In Kerr- Newman black hole space time*

For Kerr-Newman background the canonical partition function is:

$$\ln Z = \frac{V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \sum_{j,\alpha} \int_{m_0}^{\infty} d\Big(\frac{m}{m_s}\Big) \rho_s(m,j,q) \int d^{(D-1)}k \ln \left\{ \frac{1 + \exp\Big\{ -\beta_{sem}[(m^2c^4 + \hbar^2k^2c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \mu_\alpha] \Big\}}{1 - \exp\Big\{ -\beta_{sem}[(m^2c^4 + \hbar^2k^2c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \mu_\alpha] \Big\}} \right\} \tag{121}$$

where $\rho_s(m,j,q)$ is given by Eqs. (64) and (77), here $T_{sem} \equiv T_{sem}(J,Q)$ (Eq. (18)) and $\beta_{sem} = (k_B T_{sem}(J,Q))^{-1}$; μ_α, q_α and j_α and are the chemical potential, charge, and angular momentum (about the axis of rotation of the black hole: $j = n_\alpha \hbar$) of a string mode α respectively; m_0 is the lowest string mass for which the asymptotic string density of mass level is valid, and m_s is the fundamental string mass scale (Eq. (34)).

The chemical potential μ_α is given by

$$\mu_\alpha = j_\alpha \Omega + q_\alpha \Phi \tag{122}$$

where Ω and Φ are the Kerr-Newman angular velocity and electric potential respectively [16]:

$$\Omega = \frac{cL_J}{r_+^2 + L_J^2}, \qquad \Phi = \frac{Qr_+}{r_+^2 + L_J^2} \tag{123}$$

From Eq. (121) we have

$$\ln Z = \frac{4V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{c}{\beta_{sem}^{\frac{D-2}{2}}\hbar^{(D-1)}} \sum_{j,\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{(2n-1)\beta_{sem}\mu_\alpha}}{(2n-1)^{D/2}} \int_{m_0}^{\infty} d\Big(\frac{m}{m_s}\Big) \rho_s(m,j,q) m^{D/2} K_{D/2}[\beta_{sem}(2n-1)mc^2] \tag{124}$$

being $K_{D/2}$ the modified Bessel function. Considering the leading order ($\beta_{sem}\ mc^2 \gg 1$) and the asymptotic flat behavior of the string mass density of states, the leading contribution for the partition function is

$$\ln Z \simeq \frac{2V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \frac{m_s^{a-1}}{(\beta_{sem}\hbar^2)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \sum_\alpha e^{\beta_{sem}\mu_\alpha} \int_{m_0}^\infty dm\ m^{(-a+\frac{D-1}{2})}\ e^{-(\beta_{sem}-\beta_s)mc^2} \tag{125}$$

where $\beta_s = (k_B t_s)^{-1}$ (Eq. (48)). As already mentioned, the factor 2 in front of Eq. (125) stands for both bosonic and fermionic strings included (otherwise, this factor is absent for either bosonic or fermionic strings). Eq. (125) implies that the black hole temperature $T_{sem} \equiv T_{sem}(J,Q)$ is bounded by the string temperature t_s: $T_{sem}(J,Q) \leq t_s$.

Finally, for $T_{sem}(J,Q) \ll t_s$ ($\beta_{sem} \gg \beta_s$) and $T_{sem}(J,Q) \to t_s$ ($\beta_{sem} \to \beta_s$), the partition function behaviors are respectively

$$\ln Z \simeq V_{D-1}\Big(\frac{m_s}{2\pi\beta_{sem}\hbar^2}\Big)^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \sum_\alpha e^{\beta_{sem}\mu_\alpha}\quad e^{-\beta_{sem}m_0c^2} \tag{126}$$

and

$$\ln Z \simeq V_{D-1}\Big(\frac{m_s}{2\pi\beta_s\hbar^2}\Big)^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \sum_\alpha e^{\beta_{sem}\mu_\alpha} \frac{1}{\Big[(\beta_{sem}-\beta_s)m_sc^2\Big]} \tag{127}$$

analogously to Eqs. (93) and (92), but here with the semiclassical temperature $T_{sem}(J,Q)$. Eq. (127) shows an universal pole singularity for any D at the temperature t_s, typical of a string system with intrinsic Hagedorn temperature, and indicates a string phase transition of Carlitz's type [33] to a condensate finite energy state. i.e the transition takes place at $T_{sem}(J,Q) = t_s$ towards a microscopic finite energy condensate of size range l_s. This stringy state forms, at the last stage of black hole evaporation, from the massive very excited strings emitted by the black hole, as we will show from the string emission cross section computed in Sec. 6 below.

We have just seen, from the canonical partition function Eq. (125), that the Kerr Newman black hole temperature (Eqs. (12) and (19)) is bounded by the string temperature t_s (Eq. (48)). Therefore, the string bound

$$T_{sem}(J,Q) \leq t_s \tag{128}$$

implies

$$L_{c\ell}(J,Q) \geq \hat{l}_s. \tag{129}$$

Eqs. (19) and (48) yield

$$1\ -\ \frac{\nu^2}{2} \geq \delta\ \sigma, \qquad \sigma \equiv \frac{\hat{l}_s}{r_g}\ -\ 1 \tag{130}$$

The above relations (Eqs. (129) and (130) lead to three different situations [12]:

(i) $\sigma < 1$ (i.e. $r_g > \hat{l}_s/2$). In this case, the inequality Eq. (130) is satisfied for all nonvanishing values of μ and ν.

Then $T_{sem}(J,Q) < t_s$ is always verified without restrictions on J and Q.

(ii) $\sigma = 1$ (i.e. $r_g = \hat{l}_s/2$). One has $\mu = 0 = \nu$ (with $L_{c\ell}(J = 0 = Q) \equiv 2r_g = \hat{l}_s$, Eqs. (19) and (48) for the equal sign in Eq. (130); and $\mu \neq 0$, $\nu \neq 0$ or ($\mu \neq 0$, $\nu = 0$), or ($\mu = 0$, $\nu \neq 0$) for the strict inequality.

Then $T_{sem}(J,Q) < t_s$ with any value of J and Q excluding both J and Q simultaneously equal to zero. The string limit $T_{sem}(J,Q) = t_s$ is reached with both $J = 0$ and $Q = 0$.

(iii) $\sigma > 1$ (i.e. $r_g < \hat{l}_s/2$). In this case, two critical values, μ_0 and ν_0, appear for the angular momentum parameter μ and the charge parameter ν respectively. They are given by

$$\mu_0^2 = \frac{4\ (\sigma^2 - 1)(1 - \nu^2)\ -\ \nu^4}{4\ \sigma^2} \tag{131}$$

and

$$\nu_0^2 = 2\ \left(1\ -\ \sigma^2\ +\ \sigma\sqrt{\sigma^2\ -\ 1}\right) \tag{132}$$

If $\nu \geq \nu_0$, Eq. (130) is fulfilled for all $\mu's$ and saturated for $\nu = \nu_0$ and $\mu = 0$. In the opposite case i.e. $\nu < \nu_0$, one must have $\mu \geq \mu_0$. Here, $L_{c\ell}(J,Q) = \hat{l}_s$ if $\mu = \mu_0$.

In this case, there exist a critical value for the charge, Q_0, and a critical value for the angular momentum, J_o: For $Q \geq Q_0$, $T_{sem}(J,Q) \leq t_s$ holds for all J; the string limit $T_{sem}(J,Q) = t_s$ is reached for $Q = Q_0$ and $J = 0$, and Q_0 is given by

$$Q_0^2 = \frac{G}{2}\left(4M^2\ -\ (M_s - 2M)^2 + (M_s - 2M)\sqrt{(M_s\ -\ 2M)^2 - 4M^2}\right) \tag{133}$$

Otherwise, namely if $Q < Q_o$, there is a minimal angular momentum, $J \geq J_o$, given by:

$$J_0^2 = \frac{\frac{G^2M^4}{c^2}\left((M_s - 2M)^2 - 4M^2\right)\left(1 - \frac{Q^2}{GM^2}\right) - \frac{Q^4\ M^2}{c^2}}{(M_s\ -\ 2M)^2} \tag{134}$$

($M_s \equiv (c^2/G)\ \hat{l}_s$). Here, the limit $T_{sem}(J,Q) = t_s$ is reached for $J = J_0$.

From this analysis we can conclude that - given a semiclassical Kerr Newman black hole with mass M, angular momentum J, charge Q and temperature $T_{sem}(J,Q)\ <\ t_s$ - there are three possible cases for its evolution into a string state with temperature T_s:

(I) If $r_g > \frac{\hat{l}_s}{2}$, $J \neq 0$ and $Q \neq 0$, the semiclassical Kerr Newman black hole reaches a string state of temperature t_s, mass $M = M_s/4$, angular momentum $J = 0$ and charge $Q = 0$.

(II) If $r_g < \frac{\hat{l}_s}{2}$, $J \neq 0$ and $Q > Q_0$ (Q_0 being the critical value Eq. (133)), the string temperature t_s is reached with $J = 0$ and $Q = Q_0$.

(III) If $r_g < \frac{\hat{l}_s}{2}$, $J > J_0$ and $Q < Q_0$, the string temperature t_s is reached for $J = J_0$, being J_0 the minimal value Eq. (134). In this string state both angular momentum and charge are different from zero.

The final conclusion is that, besides the classical upper bounds implying a maximal angular momentum and maximal charge for the black hole (Eq. (10)), there are *minimal* values for the Kerr Newman black hole angular momentum and the charge in the string regime. This implies also minimal values for the angular momentum of a Kerr Black Hole, and for the charge of a Reissner-Nordstrom Black Hole.

6. QUANTUM STRING EMISSION BY A BLACK HOLE AND THE LAST STAGE OF BLACK HOLE EVAPORATION

As cosmological evolution goes from quantum string (QS) phase to quantum field theory (QFT) phase (and then to the classical epoch), black hole evaporation goes from a QFT phase to a QS phase. We will analyzed in this section the quantum string emission of a Schwarzschild black hole ($J = 0$, $Q = 0$) in an asymptotically flat, dS and AdS space times; and the quantum string emission of a rotating charged black hole ($KNBH$). Finally, we will consider the black hole quantum decay.

For a non rotating uncharged black hole in a flat, dS or AdS space times, evaporation is measured by an observer which is at the corresponding asymptotic region i.e flat, dS or AdS backgrounds.

The quantum field emission cross section $\sigma_{QFT}(k)$ of a given emitted species of particles in a mode k by a black hole in a given background is [37] -[38], [59] given by

$$\sigma_{QFT}(k) = \frac{\Gamma_A}{e^{(\beta_{sem} E(k))} - 1} \tag{135}$$

where Γ_A is the greybody factor (absorption cross section), and we consider only the isotropic term (i.e. oscillatory behavior as a function of k is disregarded) [60]. For the sake of simplicity, only bosonic states have been considered (+ sign in the denominator for fermionic) ; $\beta_{sem} = (k_B T_{sem})^{-1}$, and T_{sem} is the semiclassical - Hawking temperature in the chosen background. The quantum field emission cross section of particles of mass m is defined as

$$\sigma_{QFT}(m) = \int_0^\infty \sigma_{QFT}(k)\ d\mu(k) \tag{136}$$

where $d\mu(k)$ is the number of states between k and $k + dk$:

$$d\mu(k) = \frac{2V_{D-1}}{\left(4\pi\right)^{\frac{D-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{D-1}{2}\right)} \; k^{D-2} \; dk \tag{137}$$

From Eq. (136) we have

$$\sigma_{QFT}(m) = \frac{V_{D-1}\;\Gamma_A}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \frac{\left(mc^2\right)^{\frac{D-2}{2}}}{(\beta_{sem})^{D/2}\;(\hbar c)^{D-1}} \quad \times$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\; \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{D/2}} \left\{ n\beta_{sem} mc^2 K_{_{D/2}}(n\beta_{sem} mc^2) + K_{_{D/2-1}}(n\beta_{sem} mc^2) \right\} \tag{138}$$

For large m and the leading order $n = 1$ ($\beta_{sem}\; mc^2 \gg 1$), we have

$$\sigma_{QFT}(m) \simeq \frac{V_{D-1}\;\Gamma_A}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \;\; \frac{m^{\frac{D-1}{2}}}{\left(\beta_{sem}\;\hbar^2\right)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \; e^{-\beta_{sem}\;\,mc^2} \tag{139}$$

The string quantum emission cross section, σ_{string}, will be given by

$$\sigma_{string} \simeq \int_{m_0}^{M_s} \rho_s(m) \; \sigma_{QFT}(m) \; d\Big(\frac{m}{m_s}\Big) \tag{140}$$

where $\rho_s(m)$ is the asymptotic density of mass levels in flat, dS and AdS space times (Eqs. (43), (44), (45)).

6.1. Schwarzschild black hole quantum emission

For a Schwarzschild black hole in an asymptotically flat space time, the black hole evaporation will be measured by an observer at this asymptotic region. Inserting the flat mass density of states (Eq. (43)) in Eq. (140), we have the following behaviors for low and high black hole temperatures compared with the string temperature t_s (string spin considerations are overlooked her; emission is larger for spinless particles [61]) :

For low temperatures, $T_{sem} \ll t_s$, we recover the semiclassical (QFT) Hawking emission at the temperature T_{sem} (Eq. (13))

$$\sigma_{string} \simeq \frac{V_{D-1}\;\Gamma_A}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \; \frac{{m_s}^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{{\beta_{sem}}^{\frac{D+1}{2}}\;(\hbar c)^{D-1}} \; e^{-\beta_{sem} m_0 c^2} \tag{141}$$

But for $T_{sem} \to t_s$, we have a singular pole behavior at t_s (for any D space time dimensions)

$$\sigma_{string} \sim \frac{V_{D-1}\;\Gamma_A}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \; \frac{{m_s}^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\left(\beta_{sem}\;\hbar^2\right)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \; \frac{e^{-(\beta_{sem}-\beta_s)\;m_0 c^2}}{\left(\beta_{sem}-\beta_s\right)c^2} \tag{142}$$

We see again that a phase transition takes place [62], at the string temperature t_s (Eq. (48)), towards a microscopic finite energy condensate of size $\hat{l}_s$ [11]. This stringy state forms, at the last stage of black hole evaporation, from the massive very excited strings emitted by the black hole. The phase transition undergone by the emitted strings represents the non perturbative back reaction effect of the string emission on the black hole. As we already mentioned, an explicit dynamical perturbative solution to the back reaction effect of the string emission on the Schwarzschild black hole accompasses this picture: the black hole losses its mass, reduces its radius until $\hat{l}_s$ and rises its temperature until t_s. [11].

6.2. Black hole - de Sitter quantum emission

For $m \ll M_s$, (away from the upper mass bound and the temperature T_s), the $\rho_s(m, H)$ leading behavior is given by the flat space solution ($H = 0$; Eq. (43)), and the black hole emission coincides with Eq. (142) where β_{sem} is substituted by $\beta_{sem\ bhdS}$ and t_s by T_s. This means that, for low Hm regime, the string emission cross section shows the same singular behavior near t_s as the low Hm behavior of the canonical dS partition function, and as the quantum string emission by a (asymptotically flat) black hole which was the previous case. This is so, since in the $bhdS$ background, the string mass scale for low string masses (temperatures) is the Hagedorn (flat space) string temperature t_s; the limit $T_{sem\ bhdS} \to t_s$ is a high temperature behavior for low $Hm \ll c/\alpha'$, and t_s is smaller than the string dS temperature T_s.

For low temperatures $\beta_{sem\ bhdS} \gg \beta_s$ we recover the semiclassical (QFT) Hawking emission (Eq. (141)) but here at the temperature $T_{sem\ bhdS}$.

However for high masses ($m \sim M_s$), i.e close to the string critical temperature T_S, the quantum emission cross section has a different behavior as the one of the previous case. We have for the σ_{string} leading behavior :

$$\sigma_{string} \;\; (T \sim T_s) \sim V_{D-1}\, \Gamma_A \;\left(\frac{k_B T_{sem\ bhdS}}{\hbar c}\right)^{D-1} \sqrt{1 \;-\; \frac{T_{sem\ bhdS}}{T_s}} \tag{143}$$

The black hole-de Sitter emission cross section shows a **phase transition** at $T_{sem\ bhdS} = T_s$: the string emission by the black hole condensates into a de Sitter string state of string de Sitter temperature T_s [14]. This is not like the flat (or asymptotically flat) space string phase transition (of Carlitz type), but this is a de Sitter type transition. Instead of featuring a single pole singularity in ($T - T_s$), the transition is a square root branch point. The branch point singular behavior at T_s is valid for any D-dimensions and is like the one we found for the de Sitter canonical partition function (Eq. (96)) and for the de Sitter microscopic string density of states $\rho_s(m, H)$ Eq. (44) in the high m (high $Hm \to c/\alpha'$) regime.

6.3. Black hole - Anti de Sitter quantum emission

We consider the quantum emission for the different mass ranges, with respect to the relevant AdS string mass scale M_s (Eq. (40)). For low masses $m \ll M_s$, the $\rho_s(m, |\Lambda|)$ leading behavior is given by the flat space solution. ($H = 0$; Eq. (43)), and the black hole emission coincides with Eq. (142) where β_{sem} is substituted by $\beta_{sem\ bhAdS}$ and t_s by T_s (Eq. (42)). In other words, for a low regime, $|H|m \ll c/\alpha'$ regime, the $bhAdS$ string emission cross section shows the same singular behavior near t_s as the low $|H|m$ behavior of the dS and AdS partition functions (Eq. (92)), and as the quantum string emission by an asymptotically flat black hole [1], [4], but here at the $bhAdS$ temperature $T_{sem\ bhAdS}$. This is so, since in the $bhAdS$ background, the string mass scale for low string masses (temperatures) is the Hagedorn flat space string temperature t_s. The limit $T_{sem\ bhAdS} \to t_s$ is a high temperature behavior for low $Hm \ll c/\alpha'$; t_s is larger than $T_{sem\ bhAdS}$ but smaller than the AdS string temperature T_s.

For low temperatures $\beta_{sem\ bhAdS} \gg \beta_s$, (i.e. semiclassical regime), we recover the QFT Hawking emission at the temperature $T_{sem\ bhAdS}$.

Now, for high masses $m \sim M_s$, and $m >> M_s$, σ_{string} behaves as:

$$\sigma_{string}(m \sim M_s \ \text{ and } \ m \gg M_s) \sim \frac{V_{D-1}\ \Gamma_A}{(\beta_{sem\ bhAdS}\ \hbar c)^{D-1}} \left(\frac{\beta_s}{\beta_{s\ AdS}}\right)^{\frac{3-D}{4}} \ e^{\frac{1}{\beta_{sAdS}}(\beta_s\ -\ 2\pi\beta_{sem\ bhAdS})} \tag{144}$$

and no phase transition occurs as we already knew [15].

6.4. Kerr Newman quantum emission

The quantum string emission by a Kerr Newman black hole is given by the cross section

$$\sigma_{string} = \sum_j \sum_\alpha \int_{m_0}^{\infty} \rho_s(m, j, \alpha)\ \sigma_\alpha(m, j)\ d(\frac{m}{m_s}) \tag{145}$$

where

$$\sigma_\alpha(m, j) = \int_0^\infty \sigma_\alpha(k, j)\ d\mu(k, j) \tag{146}$$

$\sigma_\alpha(k,j)$ is the QFT emission cross section of particles of species α in a mode of frequency k, spin j_α $(= n_\alpha \hbar)$, and charge q_α:

$$\sigma_\alpha(k,j) = \frac{\Gamma_\alpha}{\exp\Big\{\beta_{sem}[E(k) - \mu_\alpha]\Big\} + (-1)^{2j+1}} \tag{147}$$

where $\beta_{sem} = (k_B T_{sem}(J,Q))^{-1}$. Γ_α is the classical absorption cross section (grey body factor), and μ_α the chemical potential given by Eq. (122).

The QFT emission cross section of particles of mass m and spin j is defined as

$$\sigma(m) = \sum_j \sum_\alpha \int_0^\infty \sigma_\alpha(k,j)\ d\mu(k,j) \tag{148}$$

where $d\mu(k,j)$ is the number of states between k and $k + dk$

$$d\mu(k,j) = n_j \frac{2V_{D-1}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \frac{k^{D-2}}{\Gamma\Big(\frac{D-1}{2}\Big)}\ dk \tag{149}$$

and n_j is the number of spin states: $[(j+D-3)!(2j+D-2)]\ [j!(D-2)!]^{-1}$ for $SO(D)$; ($2j+1$ for $SO(3)$).

From Eq. (148) we have

$$\sigma(m) = \sum_j \sum_\alpha n_j \frac{V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma_\alpha\ \Big(mc^2\Big)^{\frac{D-2}{2}}}{\beta_{sem}^{D/2}\ (\hbar c)^{D-1}}\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{(n-1)2j}}{n^{D/2}} \times$$
$$e^{n\mu_\alpha \beta}\Big\{n\beta_{sem} mc^2 K_{_{D/2}}(n\beta_{sem} mc^2) + K_{_{D/2-1}}(n\beta_{sem} mc^2)\Big\} \tag{150}$$

$K_{_{D/2}}$ being the modified Bessel function. For large m and leading order $(n = 1)$, Eq. (150) becomes

$$\sigma(m) \simeq \sum_j \sum_\alpha n_j \frac{V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma_\alpha\ \Big(mc^2\Big)^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\beta_{sem}^{\frac{D+1}{2}}\ (\hbar c)^{D-1}} e^{-\beta_{sem}(mc^2 - \mu_\alpha)} \tag{151}$$

Therefore, from the quantum emission of strings by the black hole σ_{string} (Eq. (145)), we have the following leading behaviors:

For $\beta_{sem} \gg \beta_s$

$$\sigma_{string} \simeq \sum_j \sum_\alpha n_j \frac{V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}}\ \frac{\Gamma_\alpha\ \ m_s^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\beta_{sem}^{\frac{D+1}{2}}\ (\hbar c)^{D-1}}\ e^{\beta_{sem}\ \mu_\alpha}\ e^{-\beta_{sem}\ m_0 c^2} \tag{152}$$

and for $\beta_{sem} \to \beta_s$

$$\sigma_{string} \simeq \sum_j \sum_\alpha n_j \frac{V_{D-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}}\ \frac{\Gamma_\alpha\ \ m_s^{\frac{D-3}{2}}}{\beta_s^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\ (\hbar c)^{D-1}}\ e^{\beta_s \mu_\alpha} \frac{1}{(\beta_{sem} - \beta_s)} \tag{153}$$

The string emission cross section shows that for $T_{sem}(J,Q) \ll t_s$ the emission is thermal with temperature $T_{sem}(J,Q)$ (Eq. (19)), this is the Hawking part of the emission in the early stage of evaporation, that is, the semiclassical or QFT regime. As evaporation proceeds, $T_{sem}(J,Q)$ increases: for $T_{sem}(J,Q) \rightarrow t_s$, the massive string modes dominate the emission; the string emission cross section shows at t_s the same behavior as ln$Z$ (Eq. (127)), that is, a phase transition takes place at $T_{sem}(J,Q) = t_s$. This phase transition undergone by the emitted strings represents the non-perturbative back reaction effect of the string emission on the black hole. In a non-singular finite process, the temperature does not becomes infinite but remains bounded by t_s (the radius and mass do not reduce to zero) [12].

6.5. Quantum Black hole decay

In the semiclassical (QFT) regime, ie in the early stages, of black hole evaporation, the black holes BH, $bhdS$, $bhAdS$, KBH, $RNBH$ and KN decay as a grey body at the Hawking temperature T_{sem}, with a decay rate

$$\Gamma_{sem} = \left| \frac{d \ln M_{sem}}{dt} \right| \sim G \; T_{sem}^3 \quad , \quad M_{sem} = 8 \; \pi \; T_{sem} \; (\hbar = c = k_B = 1). \tag{154}$$

where T_{sem} (Eq.(12)) is given by Eqs.(13), (14), (16) and (19) respectively. As evaporation proceeds, T_{sem} increases until it reaches the string temperature T_{string}, where $T_{string} = t_s$ (Eq.(48)) for BH, KBH, $RNBH$ and KN black holes and $T_{string} = T_s$ (Eq.(42)) for $bhdS$ and $bhAdS$ black holes. The black hole itself becomes a very excited string state in any of the backgrounds considered. This quantum string state decays in the usual way quantum strings do ([63],[64]), ie with a width,

$$\Gamma_s \sim \alpha' \; T_{string}^3 \tag{155}$$

As $T_{sem} \rightarrow T_{string}$, Γ_{sem} becomes Γ_s ($G \sim \alpha'$), and the final decay is a pure (non mixed) quantum mechanical string decay into all type of particles. The string *minimal* black hole will have a life time $\tau = (\Gamma_s)^{-1}$. In this *effective* string framework there is no loss of information, i.e no paradox at all [29], [12], [14]- [15].

7. SEMICLASSICAL (Q.F.T) and QUANTUM (STRING) REGIMES

7.1. Semiclassical entropy for (asymptotically flat) Schwarzschild black hole, de Sitter and Anti de Sitter space times

From our analysis in the previous Sections for different backgrounds, we have shown that for $T_{sem} \to T_{string}$, the semiclassical (Q.F.T) regime with Hawking-Gibbons temperature T_{sem} undergoes a phase transition into a string phase at the string temperature T_{string}, being T_{string} the critical temperature ($T_{string} = t_s$ (Eq.(48)) for BH, KBH, $RNBH$ and KN, and $T_{string} = T_s$ (Eq.(42)) for dS, $bhdS$ and $bhAdS$). This means that, in the quantum string regime, the semiclassical mass density of states ρ_{sem} becomes the string mass density of states ρ_s, and the semiclassical entropy S_{sem} becomes the string entropy S_s. Namely, in a given background, a semiclassical state, $(dS)_{sem} \equiv (T_{sem}, \rho_{sem}, S_{sem})$, undergoes a phase transition into a quantum string state $(dS)_s \equiv (T_{string}, \rho_s, S_s)$.

The sets $(dS)_s$ and $(dS)_{sem}$ are the same quantities but in different (quantum and semiclassical/classical) regimes. This is the usual classical/quantum duality but in the gravity domain, which is *universal*, and not linked to any symmetry or isommetry nor to the number or the kind of dimensions. From the semiclassical $(dS)_{sem}$ and quantum string $(dS)_s$ regimes, we can write the full de Sitter entropy S_{sem}, with quantum corrections included, such that it becomes the string entropy $S_s(m)$ (Eq. (30)) in the string regime.

For the (asymptotically flat) BH, dS and AdS cases, the full semiclassical entropies S_{sem} are given by [29], [12], [14]- [15]

$$S_{sem,flat} \equiv S_{sem}(M) = S_{sem}^{(0)} - a\ k_B\ \ln\ (\frac{S_{sem}^{(0)}}{k_B}) \tag{156}$$

$$S_{sem,dS}(H) = \hat{S}_{sem}^{(0)}(H) - a\ k_B\ \ln\ (\frac{\hat{S}_{sem}^{(0)}(H)}{k_B}) - k_B\ \ln \mathcal{F}(H) \tag{157}$$

$$S_{s,AdS}(|\Lambda|) = \hat{S}_s^{(0)}(|\Lambda|) - a\ k_B\ \ln\ (\frac{\hat{S}_{sem}^{(0)}(|\Lambda|)}{k_B}) - k_B\ \ln \mathcal{F}(|\Lambda|) \tag{158}$$

where for Eqs. (157) and (158)

$$\hat{S}_{sem}^{(0)}(m) \equiv S_{sem}^{(0)} \sqrt{g(X)}\ \ ,\ \ \mathcal{F} \equiv \sqrt{(1 \mp 4X^2) g(X)} \tag{159}$$

($\mp$ for dS and AdS respectively) being X the dimensionless variable

$$2X(|H|) \equiv \frac{\pi k_B}{S_{sem}^{(0)}(|H|)} = \Big(\frac{m_{Pl}}{M_{cl}}\Big)^2 \tag{160}$$

(M_{cl} is the $dS(AdS)$ mass scale Eq.(29), and m_{Pl} the Planck mass Eq.(102)) and

$$g(X) \equiv \frac{2}{1 + \Delta_{sem}}, \qquad \Delta_{sem} \equiv \sqrt{1 \mp 4X^2} = \sqrt{1 \mp \Big(\frac{\pi k_B}{S_{sem}^{(0)}(|H|)}\Big)^2} \tag{161}$$

($\mp$ for dS and AdS respectively). $S_{sem}^{(0)}$ and $S_{sem}^{(0)}(|H|)$ are the usual Bekenstein-Hawking entropies of flat, dS ($H > 0$) and AdS ($\Lambda < 0$) backgrounds (Eqs. (26) and (27)).

From Eq.(156) we see that, for a Schwarzschild BH, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S_{sem}^{(0)}$ is the leading term. Analogously, for low curvature regime in dS (AdS) (low $|H| \ll c/\ell_{Pl}$, $M_{cl} \gg m_{Pl}$ i.e $X \to 0$, $\Delta \to 1$, $g(X) \to 1$) the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S_{sem}(|H|)$ is the leading term with its logarithmic correction:

$$S_{sem}(|H|) = S_{sem}^{(0)}(|H|) - a k_B \ \ln\Big(\frac{S_{sem}^{(0)}(|H|)}{k_B}\Big) \tag{162}$$

7.2. Non extremal Kerr black hole entropy

We can write the semiclassical entropy $S_{sem}(M, J)$ for the Kerr black hole such that it becomes the string entropy $S_s(m, j)$ in the string regime (Eq. (72)), namely [12]:

$$S_{sem}(M, J) = S_{sem}^{(0)}(M, J) - a\ k_B\ \ln\Big(\ \frac{S_{sem}^{(0)}(M, J)}{k_B}\ \Big)\ +\ k_B\ \ln\ F(S_{sem}^{(0)}, J) \tag{163}$$

where $S_{sem}^{0}(M, J)$ is the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (Eq. (26) and Eq. (28)) and $F(S_{sem}^{(0)}, J)$ is given by

$$F = \Delta^{-1}\Big(\frac{1+\Delta}{2\Delta}\Big)^{a}\ e^{\left(\frac{1-\Delta}{1+\Delta}\right)\frac{S_{sem}^{(0)}(M,J)}{\Delta k_B}}\ \frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{(1-\Delta)}{\Delta}\frac{S_{sem}^{(0)}(M,J)}{2k_B}\right)} \tag{164}$$

For $J = 0$: $F = 1$ and $S_{sem}^{(0)}(M, J = 0) \equiv S_{sem}^{(0)} = 4\pi k_B\Big(M/m_{Pl}\Big)^2$, being $S_{sem}^{(0)}$ the Schwarzschild black hole Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. Δ is given by Eq. (17), which in terms of $S_{sem}^{(0)}$, reads:

$$\Delta = \sqrt{1 - \Big(\frac{J}{\hbar}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{4\pi k_B}{S_{sem}^{(0)}}\Big)^2} \tag{165}$$

Therefore, the whole Kerr entropy $S_{sem}(M, J)$ (Eq.(163)) can be written in terms of $S_{sem}^{(0)}$:

$$S_{sem}(M, J) = \Big(\frac{1+\Delta^2}{2\Delta}\Big)S_{sem}^{(0)} - a\ k_B\ \ln\Big(\ \frac{S_{sem}^{(0)}}{k_B}\ \Big) - (a+1)\ k_B\ \ln\Delta - 2\ k_B\ \ln\ \cosh\Big[\ \frac{S_{sem}^{(0)}}{4k_B}\frac{(1-\Delta^2)}{\Delta}\ \Big] \tag{166}$$

The first term in Eq. (166) reads

$$\Big[\ 1 - \frac{1}{2}\ \Big(\frac{J}{\hbar}\Big)^2\Big(\ \frac{4\pi k_B}{S_{sem}^{(0)}}\ \Big)^2\ \Big]\ \Delta^{-1}\ S_{sem}^{(0)}. \tag{167}$$

For $\Delta \neq 0$, the effect of the angular momentum is to reduce the entropy. $S_{sem}(M,J))$ is maximal for $J=0$ (ie for $\Delta = 1$). For $J=0$, we reproduce the semiclassical entropy of a Schwarzschild black hole (Eq. (156)):

$$S_{sem}(M) \;=\; S^{(0)}_{sem} \;-\; a\; k_B\; \ln S^{(0)}_{sem} \tag{168}$$

Notice that the **new** term $\ln F(S^{(0)}_{sem}(M,J))$ in Eq. (163) is enterely due to $J \neq 0$, and yields in particular to the last $\ln cosh$ term in Eq. (166). The argument of the last term in Eq. (166) reads

$$X \;\equiv\; \frac{S^{(0)}_{sem}}{4k_B}\frac{(1-\Delta^2)}{\Delta} \;=\; \frac{\pi}{\Delta}\Big(\frac{J}{\hbar}\Big)^2\frac{4\pi k_B}{S^{(0)}_s} \;=\; \frac{\pi}{\Delta}\,\Big(\frac{J}{\hbar}\Big)^2\,\Big(\frac{m_{Pl}}{M}\Big)^2 \tag{169}$$

Eq. (163) provides the whole Kerr black hole entropy $S_{sem}(M,J)$ as a function of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S^{(0)}_{sem}(M,J)$. For $M \gg m_{Pl}$ and $J < GM^2/c$, $S^{(0)}_{sem}$ is the leading term of this expression, **but** for high angular momentum, (nearly extremal or extremal case $J = GM^2/c$), a gravitational **phase transition** operates and the whole entropy S_{sem} is drastically **different** from the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S^{(0)}_{sem}$, as we see below.

7.3. Extremal Kerr black hole and gravitational phase transition

The semiclassical extremal Kerr Newman black hole does not evaporate through Hawking radiation, as the Hawking temperature is zero in this case (Eqs. (18), (19) and (25))

$$T_{sem}(J,Q)_{extremal} = 0 \tag{170}$$

Eq. (128) is a strict inequality in this case: $T_{sem}(J,Q)_{extremal} < t_s$. The string temperature cannot be reached (unless the extremal configuration would already be a stringy state).

The extremal black hole is, among the black hole states, the most stable configuration, i.e the most classical or semiclassical one.

A Kerr-Newman black hole cannot become through quantum decay an extremal black hole. The extremal black hole cannot be the late state of black hole evaporation. Through evaporation and decay, the black hole losses charge and angular momentum (super-radiance like processes) at a higher rate than the loss of mass through thermal radiation. Thus, if a black hole was not extremal at its origin, it will not be extremal at its end. A Kerr Newman black hole evolves in general, through evaporation, into a Schwarzshild black hole, and becomes at its late stage a stringy state, which then decays, by the usual string decay process, in all types of massless and massive particles.

In particular, we consider now an extremal Kerr black hole. The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S^{(0)}_{sem}(M, J)$ is minimal in the extremal case, i.e when J reaches its **maximal** value ($\Delta = 0$, Eq. (17)) [12]

$$S^{(0)}_{sem}(M, J)_{extremal} = \frac{1}{2}\; S^{(0)}_{sem}(M, J = 0) \tag{171}$$

For $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, the last term in Eq. (166) substracts the first one, and the pole in Δ of these two terms cancel out. $S_{sem}(M, J)_{extremal}$ is :

$$S_{sem}(M, J)_{extremal} = -(a+1)\; k_B\; \ln\frac{\Delta}{2} \;+\; k_B\; \ln 2 \;+\; \Delta\;\Big(\;\frac{3}{4}\; S^{(0)}_{sem} \;-\; ak_B\;\Big) \;+\; O(\Delta^2) \tag{172}$$

In terms of the mass, or temperature, Δ (Eq. (17)) is given by

$$\Delta \;=\; \sqrt{1 - \Big(\frac{J}{\hbar}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{m_{Pl}}{M}\Big)^4} \;=\; \sqrt{1 - \Big(\frac{J}{\hbar}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{T_{sem}}{T}\Big)^2} \tag{173}$$

($T = Mc^2/8\pi k_B$) being now T_{sem} the Schwarzschild Hawking temperature. In the extreme limit $(J/\hbar) \rightarrow (M/m_{Pl})^2$, $S_{sem}(M, J)_{extremal}$ is dominated by

$$S_{sem}(M, J)_{extremal} = -(a + 1)\; k_B\; \ln\; \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{T}}\sqrt{T - \Big(\frac{J}{\hbar}\Big)^{1/2} T_{Pl}}\;\Big) \;+\; O(1) \tag{174}$$

(being T_{Pl} the Planck temperature). This shows that a **phase transition** takes place at $T \rightarrow \sqrt{(J/\hbar)}\; T_{Pl}$, we call it **extremal transition**.

The characteristic features of this gravitational transition can be discussed on the lines of the extremal string transition we analysed for the extremal string states (Subsec.4.1).

7.4. De Sitter gravitational phase transition

For **high** Hubble constant, $H \sim c/\ell_{Pl}$, (i.e $M_{cl} \sim m_{Pl}$), the high curvature or quantum dS regime is very different from the BH, dS low curvature and the high curvature AdS regimes as we shall see. In the limit $M_{cl} \sim m_{Pl}$, $S^{(0)}_{sem}(|H|)$ is subdominant for dS background (and AdS as well). In fact, for $\Delta_{dS} \sim 0$ ($\Delta_{sem}(dS) \equiv \Delta_{dS}$, Eq. (161)), or $M_{c\ell} \rightarrow m_{Pl}$, the entropy $S_{sem,dS}(H)$ (Eq. (157)) behaves as [14]:

$$S_{sem,dS}(H)_{\Delta_{dS}\sim 0} = k_B\;\; \ln \Delta_{dS} \;\;+\;\; O(1) \tag{175}$$

and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S^{(0)}_{sem}(H)$ is sub-leading, O(1), ($S^{(0)}_{sem}(H)_{\Delta_{dS}=0} = \pi k_B$).

In the limit $M_{cl} \to m_{Pl}$, $S_{sem,dS}(H)$ is dominated by

$$S_{sem,dS}(H)_{\Delta_{dS}\to 0} = -\ k_B\ \ln\ \Big(\ \sqrt{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{T_{semdS}}{T}}\ \Big)\ \ +\ O(1) \tag{176}$$

being

$$\Delta_{dS}\ =\ \sqrt{1 - \Big(\frac{m_{Pl}}{M_{cl}}\Big)^4}\ =\ \sqrt{1 - \Big(\frac{T_{semdS}}{T}\Big)^2} \tag{177}$$

($T\ =\ (1/2\pi k_B)\ M_{cl}c^2$). This shows that a **phase transition** takes place at $T \to T_{semdS}$, implying that the transition occurs for $M_{cl} \to m_{Pl}$, ie $T \to T_{Pl}$, (that is for high $H \to c/\ell_{Pl}$). This is a **gravitational** like transition, similar to the de Sitter string transition we analysed in Sec. 4 : the signature of this transition is the square root *branch point* behavior at the critical mass (temperature) analogous to the thermal self-gravitating gas phase transition of point particles [34]- [36], [65] and to the string gas in dS space. This behavior is *universal*, and happens in any number of space-time dimensions [29], [66] . We have already seen the same feature for the extremal Kerr black hole (high angular momentum $J \to M^2G/c$, extremal transition).

7.5. Absence of Anti de Sitter gravitational phase transition

For AdS background, the variable Δ_{AdS} ($\Delta_{sem}(AdS) \equiv \Delta_{AdS}$) reads

$$\Delta_{AdS}\ =\ \sqrt{1 + \Big(\frac{m_{Pl}}{M_{cl}}\Big)^4}\ =\ \sqrt{1 + \Big(\frac{T_{semAdS}}{T}\Big)^2} \tag{178}$$

($T\ =\ (1/2\pi k_B)\ M_{cl}c^2$). It must be noticed that Δ_{AdS} *never* vanishes in this space-time, contrary to dS space-time in which $\Delta_{dS} \to 0$ (Eq. (177)) for high cosmological constant (high curvature or quantum dS regime). For high curvature, i.e. $M_{c\ell} \to m_{Pl}$, the full AdS entropy $S_{sem,AdS}(|\Lambda|)$ (Eq. (158)) is :

$$S_{sem,AdS}(|\Lambda|) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{2}}}\ \pi k_B - a k_B\ \ln\Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{2}}}\ \pi\Big) - k_B\ \ln\Big(\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+\sqrt{2}}}\Big) \tag{179}$$

(Bekenstein-Hawking AdS entropy: $S^{(0)}_{sem,AdS}(|\Lambda|)(M_{c\ell} = m_{Pl}) = \pi k_B$). Contrary to dS, **no** phase transition occurs at $T \to T_{semAdS}$ in de AdS space time. That is, there is **no** phase transition at $M_{cl} \to m_{Pl}$, ie $T \to t_{Pl}$, (high curvature $|\Lambda|^{1/2} \to c/\ell_{Pl}$ or quantum AdS regime). This is so, since for AdS background, like for the AdS string entropy, no singularity at finite mass or finite temperature occurs in the density of states or in the entropy. Also, contrary to dS and to extremal KBH cases, there is not a square root branch point in the mass (temperature) analogous to the thermal self-gravitating gas phase transition of point particles.

It must be noticed that in AdS background we can still analyze the mass regime $M_{cl} << m_{Pl}$, for which $X >> 1$, $\Delta_{AdS} = 2X >> 1$ and $g(X) = 1/X \rightarrow 0$ (Eq. (160) and (161)). In this regime, the Bekenstein-Hawking term is subdominant:

$$S^{(0)}_{sem}(|\Lambda|)(X >> 1) = \frac{\pi k_B}{2X} << 1, \tag{180}$$

therefore, $\sqrt{g(X)} S^{(0)}_{sem} = \pi k_B / (2X^{3/2})$, and the full AdS entropy $S_{sem,AdS}(|\Lambda|)$ (Eq. (158)) behaves as :

$$S_{sem,AdS}(|\Lambda|)(X >> 1) = a k_B \ \ln\Big(\frac{2}{\pi}\ X^{3/2}\Big) - k_B \ \ln\Big(2X^{1/2}\Big) \ + \ \frac{\pi k_B}{2X^{3/2}} \tag{181}$$

This is a **high** curvature quantum regime, in which $|\Lambda|^{1/2} >> c/\ell_{Pl}$, and therefore, the entropy is not dominated by the usual Bekenstein-Hawking (zero order term), which results negligable in this case [15]. The Planck scale AdS curvature regime ($|\Lambda|^{1/2} \sim c/\ell_{Pl}$), is reached **smoothly**, without any singular behavior or phase transition in the entropy. The very high curvature AdS regime, $|\Lambda|^{1/2} >> c/\ell_{Pl}$, is reached with a logarithmic growing behavior of the entropy.

8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In the framework of the *effective* approach to quantum strings in curved backgrounds of physical relevance (dS, AdS, BH, $bhdS$, $bhAdS$, KBH, $RNBH$ and $KNBH$), explicit calculations of the quantum string entropy, string partition function and quantum emission by black holes (Schwarzschild, rotating, charged, i.e. in an asymptotically flat, dS and AdS space times) have led to several **new** results:

(i) For quantum strings, we have not only Hagedorn-Carlitz type of transitions, but **new** gravitational phase transitions appear with a common distinctive **universal** feature: a square root **branch point** singularity in any space time dimensions.

This gravitational phase transition is shown explicitly for dS and $KNBH$ cases (also for quantum strings in flat space time when mode angular momenta are considered).

The same behavior was already found for a thermal self-gravitating gas of point non relativistic particles (de Vega - Sánchez transition), thus describing a new **universality class**.

(ii) On the contrary, there are not phase transitions for AdS alone.

(iii) For dS background, upper bounds for the Hubble constant H, and of the cosmological constant Λ, are found, dictated by the quantum string phase transition.

(iv) The last stage of black hole evaporation is a microscopic string state with a finite string critical temperature which decays as usual quantum strings do in pure quantum non-thermal radiation.

Quantum black hole emission and black hole decay are described both in the semiclassical (Q.F.T) and quantum (string) regimes.

(v). In the $KNBH$ evaporation, the black hole losses its mass and also its angular momentum and charge.

New lower string bounds are given for the Kerr-Newman black hole angular momentum and charge, which are entirely different from the upper classical and semiclassical bounds.

(vi). For Schwarzschild black holes in dS space time, a relation between the Schwarzschild radius and the Hubble constant emerges, due to $bhdS$ phase transition.

(vii). For Schwarzschild black holes in AdS, a minimal classical length or a maximal string length are given.

Furthermore, the minimal black hole radius in AdS space time is larger than the minimal black hole radius in dS.

(viii). We have seen that, for a given background, a semiclassical state, $(dS)_{sem} = (L_{classical}, T_{sem}, \rho_{sem}, S_{sem})$, undergoes a phase transition into a quantum string state, $(dS)_s = (L_{string}, T_{stringl}, \rho_s, S_s)$. These sets, $(dS)_s$ and $(dS)_{sem}$, are the same quantities but in different (quantum and semiclassical/classical) regimes. This is considered as the usual classical/quantum (wave/particle) duality but in the gravity domain, which is *universal*, and not linked to any symmetry or isommetry nor to the number or the kind of space-time dimensions.

(ix). From the full quantum string entropy S_s, we have written the semiclassical S_{sem} entropy with quantum (logarithmic) corrections included.

Gravitational phase transitions are shown for dS and extremal KBH cases. These transitions display the universal feature of a square root branch point singularity in the temperature.

(xi). For extremal KBH, a gravitational phase transition takes place at temperature greater than the Planck temperature. We call this *extremal transition.*

Acknowledgments

M. R. M. acknowledges the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (FPA 2004-2602 project) for financial support, and the Observatoire de Paris, LERMA, for the kind hospitality extended to her.

---

[1] H.J. Vega and N. Sánchez *Phys. Lett.* **B197**, *320*, (1987).

[2] H. J. de Vega and N. Sánchez, *Phys Rev* **D47**, *3394*, (1993).

[3] A.L. Larsen and N. Sánchez, *Phys Rev* **D50**, *7493*, (1993).

[4] H.J. Vega and N. Sánchez *Phys. Rev* **D50**, *7202*, (1994).

[5] H.J. de Vega, A.L. Larsen and N. Sánchez *Phys Rev* **D51**, *6917*, (1995).

[6] A.L. Larsen and N. Sánchez *Phys. Rev.* **D52**, *1051*, (1995).

[7] H.J. de Vega, A.L. Larsen and N. Sánchez, *Phys Rev* **D58**, *026001*, (1998).

[8] H.J. de Vega and N. Sánchez, *Nucl Phys* **B309**, *522*, (1998).

[9] H.J. de Vega and N. Sánchez, *Nucl Phys* **B309**, *577*, (1998).

[10] *String Theory in Curved Space Times*, a collaborative research report. Ed: N. Sánchez, World Scientific (1998), and references therein.

[11] M. Ramón Medrano and N. Sánchez, *Phys. Rev* **D61**, *084030*, (2000).

[12] A. Bouchareb, M. Ramón Medrano and N.G. Sánchez, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A22**, *1627*, (2007).

[13] M. Ramón Medrano and N. Sánchez, *Phys. Rev* **D60**, *125014*, (1999).

[14] A. Bouchareb, M. Ramón Medrano and N.G. Sánchez, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D16**, *1053*, (2007) .

[15] A. Bouchareb, M. Ramón Medrano and N.G. Sánchez, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A22**, *1395*, (2007).

[16] S.W.Hawking, *Phys. Rev* **D13**, *191*, (1976).

[17] S.W.Hawking, *Phys. Rev* **D14**, *2460*, (1976).

[18] A. Kogut *et.al.* (WMAP collaboration) *Astro. Phys. Jour. Supp.* **148**, *161*, (2003)

[19] G. Hinshaw *et.al.*, astro-ph/0603451

[20] L. Page *et.al.*, astro-ph/0603450

[21] H. V. Peiris *et.al.* (WMAP collaboration), *Astro. Phys. Jour. Supp.* **148**, *213*, (2003).

[22] D. N. Spergel *et.al.* , astro-ph/0603449.

[23] D.J. Eisenstein *et.al.* (SDSS collaboration), astro-ph/0501171.

[24] A.G. Sanchez *et.al.* (2dFGRS collaboration), astrop-ph/0507583, MNRAS, in press.

[25] S.W. Hawking and D.N.Page, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87**, *577* (1983).

[26] S.W. Hawking, *The Path Integral Approach to Quantum Gravity* in General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey, S.W. Hawking and W. Israel, Cambridge Univ. Press (1979).

[27] S.W. Hawking, *Phys Rev* **D72**, *84013*, (2005).

[28] M. Ramón Medrano and N. Sánchez, *Mod.Phys.Lett.A* **18**, *2537*, (2003).

[29] N.G. Sánchez, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A19**, *4173*, (2004).

[30] M. Ramón Medrano and N. Sánchez, *Mod.Phys.Lett.A* **22**, *1133*, (2007).

[31] R. Hagedorn, *Nuovo Cimento Suppl 3, 147*, (1965).

[32] K. Huang and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, *895*, (1970).

[33] R.D. Carlitz, *Phys Rev* **D5**, *3231*, (1972).

[34] H.J. de Vega and N. Sánchez, *Nucl Phys* **B625**, *409* (2002).

[35] H.J. de Vega and N. Sánchez, *Nucl Phys* **B625**, *460*, (2002).

[36] H.J. de Vega and N. Sánchez, *Nucl Phys* **B711**, *604*, (2005).

[37] S.W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, *199*, (1975).

[38] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Phys Rev* **D15**, *2738*, (1977).

[39] J.D. Bekenstein, *Phys Rev* **D7**, *2333*, (1973).

[40] S.W. Hawking, *Nature* **248**, *30*, (1974).

[41] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Phys Rev* **D15**, *2752*, (1977).

[42] C.B. Chiu, R. L. Heimann and A. Schwimmer , *Phys. Rev* **D4**, *3177*, (1971).

[43] C.B. Chiu and R. L. Heimann, *Phys. Rev* **D4**, *3184*, (1971).

[44] C.J. Hamer and S.C. Frautschi, *Phys. Rev* **D4**, *2125*, (1971).

[45] N. Sánchez and G. Veneziano, *Nucl Phys* **B33**, *253*, (1990).

[46] M. Gasperini, N. Sánchez and G. Veneziano, *IJMP* **A6**, *3853*, (1991).

[47] M. Gasperini, N. Sánchez and G. Veneziano, *Nucl. Phys.* **B364**, *365*, (1991) .

[48] N.D. Birrell and P.C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space,* Cambridge University Press, England (1982).

[49] M. Castagnino, J.P. Paz and N. Sánchez, *Phys Lett* **193B**, *13*, (1987).

[50] S.Wada and T. Azuma, *Phys Lett* **B132**, *313*, (1983).

[51] N. Sánchez, *Nucl. Phys* **B266**, *487*, (1986).

[52] C.O. Lousto and N. Sánchez, *Phys Lett* **B212**, *411*, (1988).

[53] C.O. Lousto and N.G. Sánchez, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A4**, *2317*, (1989).

[54] J.M. Bardeen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, *382*, (1981).

[55] V.P. Frolov and A.I. Zelnikov, *Phys Lett* **B115**, *372*, (1982).

[56] T.S. Bunch and P.C.W. Davies, *Proc. R. Soc. London* **A360**, *117*, (1978).

[57] B.S. De Witt,in *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, S.W. Hawking and W. Israel, Cambridge Univ. Press (1979).

[58] J.S. Dowker and R. Critchley, *Phys. Rev* **D13**, *3224*, (1976).

[59] G.W. Gibbons,in *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, S.W. Hawking and W. Israel, Cambridge Univ. Press (1979).

[60] N. Sánchez *Phys. Rev* **D18**, *1030*, (1978).

[61] D.N. Page *Phys. Rev* **D13**, *198*, (1976).

[62] M.J.Bowick, L. Smolin and L.C. Wijewardhana, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, *895*, (1970).

[63] J.Dai and J. Polchinski, *Phys Lett* **B220**, *387*, (1989).

[64] R.B.Wilkinson, N.Turok and D.Mitchell, *Nucl Phys* **B332**, *131*, (1990).

[65] H.J. de Vega and N. Sánchez, *Comptes Rendus Physique de l' Academie des Sciences* **7**, *391-397*, (2006). astro-ph/0601600.

[66] N. G. Sánchez, *Comptes Rendus Physique de l' Academie des Sciences* **7**, *398-405*, (2006). astro-ph/0601601.